Volume 1

Pages 1 - 152

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Nandor J. Vadas, Magistrate Judge

IVAN VERNORD CLEVELAND, et al., Plaintiffs, NO. C 07-02809 NJV VS. BEN CURRY, WARDEN, et al.,

Defendants.

San Francisco, California Monday, November 4, 2013

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs:

LAW OFFICE OF DENNIS CUNNINGHAM 115 A Bartlett Street

San Francisco, California 94110

BY: DENNIS CUNNINGHAM, ATTORNEY AT LAW

THE LAW OFFICES OF JEFF WOZNIAK 179 11th Street - 2nd Floor

San Francisco, California 94103

BY: JEFF WOZNIAK, ATTORNEY AT LAW

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

REPORTED BY: Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR, FCRR

Candace Yount, CSR No. 2737, RMR, CCRR

Official Reporters

1	APPEARANCES:	(CONTI	NUED)
2	For Defendants	3:	OFFICE OF MILE AMMODNEY GENERAL
3			OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL State of California 455 Golden Gate Avenue - Room 11000
4		PV.	San Francisco, California 94102 MICHAEL J. QUINN, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
5		DI:	KYLE A. LEWIS, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDEX			
2	Monday, November 4, 2013			
3			PAGE	VOL.
4	Preliminary Jury Instructions Opening Statement by Mr. Cunningham		19 35	1 1
5	Opening Statement by Mr. Quinn		56	1
6	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES		PAGE	VOL.
7	TRASK, KENNETH (SWORN)		60	1
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Cunningham Cross-Examination by Mr. Lewis		60 77	1 1
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Cunningham		95	1
10	<u>CLEVELAND, IVAN VERNORD</u> (SWORN)		101	1
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Cunningham Cross-Examination by Mr. Quinn		102 135	1 1
12	EXHIBITS			
13	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS	TDEN		WOT
	I MILITIAL DE MINISTER	IDEN	EVID	VOL.
14	1	110 110	148	1
15				· <u></u>
15 16	1 2 6A2		148	1
15 16 17	1 2 6A2 <u>EXHIBITS</u>	110	148 149 151	1 1 1
15 16 17 18	1 2 6A2 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	110 IDEN	148 149 151 EVID	1 1 1 <u>VOL.</u>
15 16 17 18 19	1 2 6A2 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS B	110 IDEN 82	148 149 151 EVID 147	1 1 1 vol. 1
15 16 17 18 19 20	1 2 6A2 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	110 IDEN	148 149 151 EVID	1 1 1 <u>VOL.</u>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	1 2 6A2 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS B	110 IDEN 82	148 149 151 EVID 147	1 1 1 vol. 1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1 2 6A2 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS B	110 IDEN 82	148 149 151 EVID 147	1 1 1 vol. 1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	1 2 6A2 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS B	110 IDEN 82	148 149 151 EVID 147	1 1 1 vol. 1
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1 2 6A2 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS B	110 IDEN 82	148 149 151 EVID 147	1 1 1 vol. 1

Monday - November 4, 2013 1 8:39 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 (Proceedings were heard out of presence of prospective jurors:) 4 5 THE CLERK: Calling Civil 07-2809, Ivan Vernord Cleveland versus Ben Curry. 6 Counsel, please state your appearances for the record. 7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Good morning, Your Honor. Dennis 8 Cunningham for the plaintiffs. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Cunningham, good morning. 10 MR. QUINN: Good morning. Michael Quinn for the 11 defendants. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Quinn, good morning. 13 I have some late motions that were filed, I believe, on 14 15 Friday and perhaps this morning. Why don't we take defendants' 16 motions first, Objection to Plaintiffs' Exhibit Number 7, 17 Cleveland's Psychological Records. 18 MR. QUINN: Right. As we stated in our papers, the 19 materials were not listed on the plaintiffs' exhibit list. 20 materials -- it does not appear that there's any witness who 21 could authenticate those materials so they do constitute 22 hearsay. In addition, those materials are irrelevant, we believe, 23 as we described in our papers. So defendants don't have 24 25 anything to add beyond what was stated in the papers.

1 THE COURT: Are these documents that were provided by defendant to the plaintiff at sometime in the discovery 2 process? 3 MR. QUINN: No. No. And the materials have not been 4 provided to defendants. 5 THE COURT: As of this morning? 6 7 MR. QUINN: As of this time. There's basically a placeholder. Tab 7 is just one page that refers to however I 8 described it. It's handwritten confidential documents. 9 THE COURT: And as of this morning, defendants have 10 not received this document; is that correct? 11 MR. QUINN: That is correct. 12 THE COURT: 13 Counsel? MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's right, Judge. These are 14 15 Plaintiff Cleveland's psychological records from the 16 institution, and I include them only against the possibility 17 that they may come into play, in which case I'd be glad to They're not -- otherwise it would seem to me 18 disclose them. 19 that they shouldn't be shared, but I could definitely hand them over if they want them. 20 21 THE COURT: All right. For the reasons stated, defendants' motion is granted. 22 Moving on to Plaintiffs' Added Pretrial Request. 23 Court received this document this morning, number two, Added 24 25 Exhibit Lists.

If I remember correctly, I have already excluded this from 1 nonplaintiffs unless it becomes relevant and the parties 2 request a sidebar. 3 Any comments, Counsel? 4 5 MR. QUINN: I saw that it was filed. I hadn't -- it was filed at 8:15. I didn't have a chance to really look at 6 it, but I don't have anything beyond what you've previously 7 ruled upon. 8 THE COURT: All right. Counsel? 9 MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, Judge. I just feel that corpus 10 of documents -- of the documents from the institution that 11 reflect all the activity around these cases would be --12 THE COURT: Denied except as I previously ruled. 13 MR. CUNNINGHAM: 14 Okay. THE COURT: Number three, witness Lieutenant Armando 15 16 Padilla. 17 Let me ask plaintiffs' counsel. Have you subpoenaed 18 Lieutenant Padilla? MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, I didn't, Judge. No, I haven't 19 20 done it. 21 THE COURT: Do you intend to call Lieutenant Padilla? He's on our witness list; but as we stated 22 MR. QUINN: in the witness list we filed just last week, we reserve the 23 right to change the witnesses, not call witnesses. 24 25 THE COURT: I understand.

So we won't know whether we're going to 1 MR. QUINN: call him until the trial actually begins. 2 THE COURT: All right. This is late. You had an 3 opportunity, if you wanted him as your witness, to put him on 4 5 your witness list and to subpoena him. Plaintiffs' motion for witness Lieutenant Armando Padilla 6 is denied unless you do intend to call him. As a courtesy, I 7 would ask the defendants to inform plaintiff, and if it's not 8 an imposition, to allow plaintiff to call Lieutenant Padilla 9 first; and then you can cross-examine him if you'd like, or you 10 11 can call him in your case in chief afterwards also. All right? 12 MR. QUINN: Okay. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Number four, well, you know, first of all, we asked for 14 15 the instructions weeks in advance. MR. CUNNINGHAM: I know it was a while ago, Judge. 16 Ι 17 know it was. 18 THE COURT: What I'm concerned about is that this is 19 not a statement of claims and defenses but 11, 12, and 13 are 20 I'll certainly allow you to inquire of the indeed argument. 21 witnesses of this information and to argue it in closing. 22 But do counsel have copies of my proposed jury instructions? 23 They're here. 24 THE LAW CLERK:

All right. Why don't you -- we have

25

THE COURT:

```
prepared both the initial jury instructions, a proposed closing
 1
     instructions, and also proposed verdict.
 2
          Why don't you give it to Mr. Quinn also.
 3
              MR. QUINN:
                          Thank you.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          So these are, I believe, the ones that
     counsel have in their hand, are my proposed preliminary
 6
 7
     instructions. They are, as they should be, I believe, rather
     vanilla. Why don't we go through them very briefly.
 8
          These are the final or --
 9
              THE LAW CLERK: Preliminary.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         Preliminary. Thank you so much.
          Let me go through these very quickly with the parties.
12
     1.1B, Duty of Jury. Any objection?
13
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm sorry, Judge?
14
              THE COURT: 1.1B, Duty of Jury. Any objection?
15
          Well, these are -- although this looks like the final set.
16
17
          Hold on.
                    These are the final instructions. This is 1.1B.
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
18
                          Okay. Duty of Jury, 1.1B. Any objection?
19
              THE COURT:
20
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, Your Honor.
              MR. QUINN:
                         I don't have it.
21
22
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
23
              MR. QUINN:
                         It looks okay.
                          All right. 1.2, Claims and Defenses.
              THE COURT:
24
25
     Take a look at that, please.
```

(Pause in proceedings.) 1 THE COURT: This is -- the Court has prepared the 2 Claims and Defenses based on the submission by both parties. 3 (Pause in proceedings.) 4 MR. QUINN: With regard to 1.2 --5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 -- there's a brief line in there about the 7 MR. QUINN: retaliation claim. We had previously raised the issue during 8 the Pretrial Conference call that there was no defendant in 9 this case who was being charged with retaliation; and the Court 10 11 hadn't ruled one way or another, but said --THE COURT: But you had indicated that one --12 obviously one of the retaliation claims had been removed by 13 motion for summary judgment; and then there remains a second 14 15 retaliation claim that you believed was still operative and 16 it's still, I believe, reflected in the Complaint. MR. QUINN: It's in the Complaint, but the point is 17 that there's no defendant. Neither Abanico nor Curry are 18 accused of retaliating against Cleveland. 19 THE COURT: My understanding is, you can correct me if 20 I'm wrong, but Cleveland argues that Abanico searched his cell 21 in retaliation for his filing grievances against Abanico. 22 23 MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, Judge. Abanico was not the one who searched the cell. 24 25 **THE COURT:** So is there a retaliation claim remaining

1 in the case?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: There is, but it's not -- it is inchoate in the sense that it doesn't accuse either defendant specifically. It was -- it was, if you recall it, ransacking of the cell that he complained of. I know there was more than one that happened.

And I think that that's what the sentence should reflect, not that Abanico did it, but that it happened more than once, and that it was -- that word "ransack" would have to be there because the search is obviously legitimate in and of itself or it can be.

THE COURT: Not if it's a search based on retaliation.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: No.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it in subject to a Rule 50 motion at the end of the submission of the evidence, Counsel.

MR. QUINN: If I can just add in closing, there is, I think, a potential for confusing the jury if the plaintiff is allowed to assert these claims where there's no defendant really to answer them. I just --

THE COURT: I understand, but at this juncture I think I'm going to allow him subject to a Rule 50 motion at the closing of the evidence.

I'm going to change Claims and Defenses. In addition,
Plaintiff Cleveland argues his search -- his cell was searched

```
in retaliation for Cleveland filing grievances against Abanico.
 1
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Can we have an adjective to modify
 2
     "search"?
 3
                               That will be denied.
              THE COURT:
                          No.
 4
 5
              THE LAW CLERK: And then the next-to-the-last
 6
     sentence.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, also --
 7
              THE COURT: Defendants also argue that Cleveland's
 8
     cell was not searched in retaliation for filing complaints
 9
10
     against him.
11
          All right.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, I think also in the first
12
     paragraph it ought to state -- it ought to -- where it says,
13
     "Prison officials failed to take meaningful action," I think it
14
15
     should say, "Prison officials under the command of the
16
     defendant Warden Curry, former Warden Curry, " so that he is
17
     explicitly named in the plaintiffs' claims.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Counsel?
              MR. QUINN: I don't think there's any need to amplify
19
20
     what's already there. I mean, the sentence is clear and I
21
     think it's just overkill, for lack of a better term.
              THE COURT: So after "officials" what is it that you
22
23
    want, Counsel?
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: "Under the command" -- "under the
24
     command of Defendant Curry."
25
```

```
"Under the..."
 1
              THE COURT:
          So you're asserting a supervisory liability claim?
 2
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                               Yes.
 3
              MR. QUINN: He's asserting a claim failure to
 4
 5
     intervene. There's no supervisorial liability claim that's
 6
     listed in the -- by name in the Amended Complaint that's the
     operative Complaint.
 7
              THE COURT: You know, at 15 minutes to 9:00 before --
 8
     10 minutes to 9:00 before we pick a jury, this is really the
 9
     wrong time to be arguing this.
10
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, it's a case -- the claim has
11
    been there, Judge, a Farmer versus Brennan claim, all the way
12
     along; and, you know, there's -- there may be an issue of
13
     syntax or semantics, but that's --
14
              THE COURT: All right. "Prison officials under
15
16
     the" --
17
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Command, supervision, whatever.
              THE COURT: -- "under the supervision of Defendant
18
19
     Curry."
20
          And, again, that's subject to a Rule 50 at the close of
21
     evidence.
              MR. QUINN: I'll just note for the record, plaintiffs'
22
23
     counsel has not subpoenaed Warden Curry either.
              THE COURT: All right. We'll proceed. It might be an
24
25
     interesting Rule 50.
```

```
1.3, Burden of Proof/Preponderance of the Evidence?
 1
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Fine with us.
 2
              THE COURT: There is no clear-and-convincing standard
 3
     in this case do you believe?
 4
 5
              MR. QUINN:
                         I don't believe so.
              THE COURT: Counsel?
 6
 7
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't believe there is, Judge.
              THE COURT: The Harvey search indicates that the
 8
     claims that have been put forth in the Amended Complaint are
 9
     only those that need a burden of proof of preponderance of the
10
11
     evidence.
                That may change for some reason and we can revisit
     that with the final instructions if necessary.
12
          Two or More Parties, Different Legal Rights?
13
              MR. QUINN:
                          That's fine.
14
15
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's fine, Judge.
16
              THE COURT: 1.6, What is Evidence. Any objection?
17
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, that's fine.
              MR. QUINN: That's fine.
18
              THE COURT: 1.7, What is not Evidence?
19
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
20
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                               It's all right.
21
              MR. QUINN:
                         It's fine.
22
                          1.8, Evidence for Limited Purpose?
23
              THE COURT:
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's fine.
24
              MR. QUINN: That's fine.
25
```

```
1.9, Direct and Circumstantial Evidence?
 1
              THE COURT:
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
 2
                         It's fine.
              MR. QUINN:
 3
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's fine, Judge.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: 1.10, Ruling on Objections?
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Fine with plaintiff.
 6
              MR. QUINN:
                         It's fine.
 7
              THE COURT: 1.11, Credibility of Witnesses?
 8
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                              Fine.
 9
              MR. QUINN: It's fine.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         And then 1.12, Conduct of the Jury.
     included in that the admonitions not to use electronic devices.
12
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: You mean in terms of, like, looking
13
     up something on Wikipedia or something like that?
14
15
              THE COURT: In the chat rooms, blogs, Web site, or
16
     other feature, email, text messaging, et cetera, et cetera.
17
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Oh, I see.
              MR. QUINN:
                          It's fine.
18
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay, Judge, yes.
19
              THE COURT: 1.13, No Transcript Available for the
20
     Jury?
21
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
22
                               Fine.
                         That's fine.
23
              MR. QUINN:
              THE COURT: 1.14, Taking Notes. I will allow them to
24
     take notes.
25
```

```
That's fine.
 1
              MR. QUINN:
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Do they keep the notebooks here in
 2
     the courtroom?
 3
              THE COURT: Yes. They can't take them out.
 4
 5
          And, Lisa, how would you like to do that? Would you like
 6
     to collect them every day?
              THE CLERK: No. They can just leave them on their
 7
 8
     seats.
              THE COURT:
                         Leave them on their seats.
 9
              THE CLERK: Or they can take them back into the jury
10
     room, as long as they don't --
11
              THE COURT: I'll let you deal with it.
12
              THE CLERK:
13
                         Okay.
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
14
15
          1.18, Bench Conferences and Recesses?
16
              MR. QUINN: That's fine.
17
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                              Yes.
              THE COURT: Then 1.19, Outline of the Trial?
18
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Looks good, Judge.
19
20
              MR. QUINN:
                         It's fine.
21
              THE COURT: All right. The Court will then give the
     preliminary instructions as modified.
22
23
          Lisa, please let me know when the jury is ready.
              THE CLERK:
24
                          Okay.
25
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
```

_	THE CLERK: You're welcome.
2	(Recess taken at 8:56 a.m.)
3	(Proceedings resumed at 9:05 a.m.)
4	(Proceedings were heard out of presence of prospective jurors:)
5	THE CLERK: Calling Civil 07-2809, Ivan Vernord
6	Cleveland versus Ben Curry.
7	THE COURT: I forgot to ask counsel, any objection to
8	having preliminary matters heard outside of your clients being
9	here?
LO	MR. CUNNINGHAM: No. You mean I'm not sure what
L1	you mean, Judge.
L2	THE COURT: The preliminary matters we just took up.
L3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Oh, no, no. No. I mean,
L 4	retrospectively, no. That's all right.
L5	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
L6	MR. CUNNINGHAM: But, Judge, I do want to ask that you
L7	request the guards to let the prisoners have at least one hand
L8	free so they can write notes and stuff like that. They're
L9	gaffled to the waist at this point.
20	THE COURT: All right. I believe I said on Friday
21	that the inmates would be allowed to be just in leg chains and
22	to have their hands free at this time. So if we could, I would
23	appreciate that.
24	But first, gentlemen, good morning.
25	ALL: Good morning.

I'm Judge Vadas. I'm going to allow you 1 THE COURT: to be present during the trial and allow you to sit at counsel 2 table with your lawyers, and I'm going to allow you to have 3 your hands free at this juncture to take notes and to assist 4 5 your counsel in the trial. The very first indication that I have that there's any 6 problems here, I will have you removed from the courtroom and 7 you can watch the trial by videoconference. 8 So what I would like from each one of you is a promise and 9 an understanding that you realize that this is a benefit that 10 11 I'm giving you to be here during the trial, and that you will respect that and not cause any trouble. 12 Do I have that from all of you? 13 Yes, sir. 14 ALL: THE COURT: All right. Having said that, you can go 15 16 ahead and let them have their frees. 17 THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER: Both hands, sir? THE COURT: Yes, that will be all right. Both hands 18 at this juncture. 19 20 All right. Thank you. 21 (Recess taken at 9:07 a.m.) (Proceedings resumed at 9:28 a.m.) 22 23 (Jury voir dire was reported but not transcribed as a part of the Hourly Delivery of the transcript.) 24 25 (Proceedings were heard in presence of the prospective jurors:)

Madam Clerk, if you would call the jurors. 1 THE COURT: THE CLERK: Okay. At this time I'm going to call the 2 jurors that were selected. 3 Juror Number 1 is Nancy Mowbray. If you'll please come 4 5 forward and take Seat Number 1 at the top. (Cell phone ringing.) 6 And, Counsel, make sure their cell phones 7 THE CLERK: are off. 8 9 THE COURT: Next time that happens, the Court owns the cell phone. Have I made myself clear, Counsel? 10 11 MR. WOZNIAK: Yes, Judge. THE CLERK: Michael Gray, Juror Number 2. 12 13 Omaya Rand, you're Juror Number 3. Julie Jaffarian, you're Juror Number 4. 14 Karen Vickers, you're Juror Number 5. If you'll please 15 16 take the first seat in the bottom row. Michael Deloach, you're Juror Number six. 17 Lisa Berrett, Juror Number 7. 18 Janet O'Leary, you're Juror Number 8. 19 Your Honor, the jury has been seated. 20 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Quinn, is this your jury? 21 Yes, Your Honor. MR. QUINN: 22 23 THE COURT: Mr. Cunningham, is this your jury? MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, Your Honor. 24 25 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, those of you who

1	have not been picked this morning, the Court and the parties
2	would like to thank you for taking the time out of your busy
3	schedule to attend this portion of the jury selection. So when
4	you get called again, now at least you get to say, "I got this
5	far."
6	(Laughter)
7	THE COURT: Please go back to the Jury Commissioner
8	and you'll get further instructions. Thank you again for your
9	service.
10	(Prospective jurors excused.)
11	THE COURT: Ms. Clark, would you administer the oath,
12	please?
13	THE CLERK: Okay. Will the jury please stand and
14	raise your right hand?
15	(Jurors sworn.)
16	THE CLERK: Please be seated.
17	PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS
18	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you are
19	now the jury in this case. It is my duty to instruct you on
20	the law.
21	You must not infer from these instructions, or from
22	anything I may say or do, as indicating that I have an opinion
23	regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.
24	It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in
25	the case. To those facts, you will apply the law as I give it

to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not; and you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and ignore the others. They are all important.

I will now give you a brief summary of the positions of the parties.

Plaintiffs allege that beginning in May 2006 they were intentionally and pointedly groped, fondled, and molested by Defendant Abanico under cover of an authorized clothed body search in violation of the Eighth Amendment.

Plaintiffs argue that the searches were not conducted in accordance with the rules or with the training Abanico received. They claim that although they complained about the searches and filed formal grievances against Abanico, prison officials, under the supervision of Defendant Curry, failed to take meaningful action to prevent Abanico from interacting with prisoners.

In addition, Plaintiff Cleveland argues that his cell was searched in retaliation for Cleveland filing grievances against Abanico.

Defendants deny plaintiffs' claims in their entirety.

Defendants argue that they did not violate plaintiffs' rights.

To the contrary, they argue that Abanico adhered to the

training he received at the Correctional Academy while

4 performing such searches.

Defendants further argue that such searches performed in accordance with the Department's training manual requires some amount of touching or grabbing of plaintiffs' groin and buttocks through their clothes to accomplish the purpose of the search.

Defendants also argue that because Abanico's contact with plaintiffs' groins during the searches did not last longer than a few seconds, they were consistent with search procedures, and because there was no evidence that plaintiffs were sexually abused or molested during the searches, there was no reason for Curry to intervene in the matter.

Accordingly, defendants argue that they did not violate plaintiffs' Eighth Amendment rights.

Defendants also argue that Cleveland's cell was not searched in retaliation for filing complaints against him.

Finally, defendants argue that they're entitled to qualified immunity.

Burden of proof/preponderance of the evidence. When a party has a burden of proof by any claim by a preponderance of the evidence, it means that you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim is more probably true than not true.

You should base your decision on all of the evidence regardless of which party presented it.

Burden of proof/clear and convincing evidence. When a party has the burden of proving any claim by clear and convincing evidence, it means you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim or defense is highly probable. This is a standard of proof -- this is a higher standard of proof than proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

You should base your decision on all of the evidence regardless of which party presented it.

You should decide the case as to each party separately.

Unless otherwise stated, the instructions apply to all parties.

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what facts are consists of the sworn testimony of any witness, the exhibits which are received into evidence, and any facts to which the lawyers have agreed.

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts are. I will list them for you.

One, arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing arguments, and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the

way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.

Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence.

Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they

believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence.

You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's

6 ruling on it.

Testimony that has been excluded or stricken or that you have been instructed to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered. In addition, sometimes testimony and exhibits are received only for a limited purpose. When I give a limiting instruction, you must follow it.

Anything you may have seen or heard when the Court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at trial.

Some evidence may be for a limited purpose only. When I instruct you that an item of evidence has been admitted for a limited purpose, you must consider it only for that limited purpose and for no other.

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did.

Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from

which you could find another fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence.

It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

By way of example, if you wake up in the morning and see that the sidewalk is wet, you may find from that fact that it rained during the night; however, other evidence, such as a turned-on garden hose, may provide a different explanation for the presence of water on the sidewalk.

Therefore, before you decide that a fact has been proven -- has been proved by circumstantial evidence, you must consider all the evidence in the light of reason, experience, and common sense.

There are rules of evidence that control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other side thinks that it is not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may object. If I overrule the objection, the question may be answered or the exhibit received.

If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered and the exhibit cannot be received. Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer might have been.

Sometimes I may order that evidence be stricken from the record and that you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

In deciding the facts of this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe.

You may believe everything a witness says or part of it or none of it.

Proof of a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to, the witness' memory, the witness' manner while testifying, the witness' interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice, whether other evidence contradicted the witness' testimony, the reasonableness of the witness' testimony in light of all the evidence, and any other factor that bears on credibility or believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

I will now say a few words about your conduct as jurors.

First, keep an open mind throughout the trial and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations at the end of the case.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information

about the case or the issues involved during the course of your jury duty. Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise, do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it.

This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone, or electronic means, via email, text messaging, or any Internet chat room, blog, or Web site, or other feature.

This applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation; and it applies to communicating with everyone else, including your family members, your employer, media, or press, and the people involved in the trial, although you may notify your family and your employer that you have been seated as a juror in the case; but if you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter and to report the contact to the Court.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return a verdict, do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case, or anything to do with it.

Do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet, or using other reference materials, and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn

about the case on your own.

The law requires these restrictions to ensure the parties have a fair trial based on the same evidence that each party has had an opportunity to address. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings and a mistrial could result and require the entire trial process to start over. If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the Court immediately.

During deliberations, you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a transcript of the trial. I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it is given.

If at any time you cannot hear or see the testimony, evidence, questions, or arguments, let me know so that I can correct the problem.

If you wish, you may take notes to help you remember the evidence. If you do not take notes -- excuse me. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Do not let note taking distract you.

When you leave, your notes should be left in the envelope in the jury room. No one will read your notes. They will be destroyed at the conclusion of the case.

Whether or not you take notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory.

You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those of your fellow jurors.

Ms. Clark later on will explain to you the protocol for keeping your note tablets during the trial.

From time to time during the trial it may become necessary for me to talk with the attorneys out of the hearing of the jury, either by having a conference at the bench when the jury is present in the courtroom or by calling a recess. Please understand that while you're waiting, we are working. The purpose of these conferences is not to keep relevant information from you, but to decide how certain evidence is to be treated under the rules of evidence and how to avoid confusion and error.

Of course, we will do what we can to keep the number and length of these conferences to a minimum. I may not always grant an attorney's request for a conference. Do not consider my granting or denying a request for a conference as any indication of my opinion of the case or of what your verdict should be.

The trial proceeds in the following way:

First, each side may make an opening statement. Any opening statement is not evidence. It is simply an outline to help you understand what the party expects the evidence will show. A party is not required to make an opening statement.

Plaintiff will then present evidence, and counsel for

defendants may cross-examine. Then the defendants may present 1 evidence and counsel for plaintiff may cross-examine. 2 After the evidence has been presented, I will instruct you 3 on the law that applies to the case and the attorneys will make 4 5 closing arguments. After that, you will go to the jury room to deliberate on your verdict. 6 It is now five minutes to noon. It's now your case. 7 МУ suggestion is the following: 8 We take evidence from 9:00 to noon with a 15-minute break, 9 and then from 1:00 to 4:00 with a 15-minute break. If you'd 10 11 like a longer lunch hour, then I push the closing of the court day further back. 12 So would you like to come back at 1:00 o'clock or at 1:30? 13 I vote for 1:00. A JUROR: 14 15 A JUROR: 1:00. 16 THE COURT: It looks like everyone votes for 1:00. 17 So I'll excuse you at this time. Please be promptly back 18 at your seats at 1:00 p.m. for opening statements. Have just a brief seat inside the jury room and Ms. Clark 19 20 will give you your badges so people will know to avoid you like 21 the plague. 22 All right. Thank you. Anything further, Counsel, at this time? 23 MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, Your Honor. 24

MR. QUINN: No, Your Honor.

25

```
All right. Opening statements at 1:00 and
 1
              THE COURT:
     your first witness afterwards.
 2
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Say that last again?
 3
              THE COURT: And your first witness afterwards.
 4
 5
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                               Yes.
                  (Luncheon recess taken at 11:56 a.m.)
 6
 7
     Afternoon Session
                                                           1:03 p.m.
          (Proceedings were heard out of presence of the jury:)
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Counsel wanted to see me before . . .
 9
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, Judge. For the plaintiffs, we,
10
11
     first of all, have a concern about being able to visit with the
     clients in the off times. They're -- They're in a holding cell
12
     here where apparently it's impossible to visit it during the
13
     lunch hour, and I don't know -- Apparently the courtroom is the
14
15
     only good place. Because they --
16
              THE COURT: Well, hold up.
17
          What about taking them up to the lockup on the 20th floor?
18
     Can you arrange that?
          They should have opportunity to talk to them during the
19
     course of the trial, so if you could work something out, I'd
20
21
     appreciate it.
22
              THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:
                                         Okay.
23
              THE COURT: If it's a problem, let me know and then
     we'll talk some more; okay?
24
25
              THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER: What time?
```

```
Probably lunchtime is the best time.
 1
              THE COURT:
                                                                 And
 2
     they have to be fed, too.
              THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER:
 3
              THE COURT: You get them here what time?
 4
 5
              THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER: Between 8:30 -- Right
     around 8:30.
 6
                         Right around --
 7
              THE COURT:
              THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER: The marshals don't start
 8
     till about 8 o'clock. They need time to up and get situated,
 9
     so we try to get them to them at 8:30.
10
11
              THE COURT: All right. Let's see if we can't give
     them some time before trial tomorrow morning. Then if that
12
     doesn't work, then we're talking about having extra time at
13
     lunch; okay?
14
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: That would be good, Judge.
15
16
     we do need a little time.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: And maybe the end of the day a little
18
     while before they rush off. I know they want to get back.
19
20
              THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER: It's not a matter of us
     wanting to get back.
21
              THE COURT: No, I know, but you've got shift change
22
     and all of that.
23
          Maybe after today, if they could wait -- If maybe after
24
     the close of taking the evidence this afternoon, maybe they
25
```

```
could have a half an hour before you transport them?
 1
     that --
 2
              THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER: Will that be here?
 3
              THE COURT: Yes. How does that work?
 4
 5
             MR. CUNNINGHAM: That would be good, Judge.
 6
     Sergeant, he's been very helpful. He's running the show.
              THE COURT: All right.
 7
             MR. CUNNINGHAM: My other concern at this moment is
 8
     that the hint that Mr. Quinn made this morning about Warden
 9
10
     Curry's not here. He's told them he's not going to come but we
11
     fully intend to call him in our case. I don't know if we can
     meet our burden without it. We didn't subpoena him, but I just
12
     assumed he'd be here. I'm kind of taken aback that he's not
13
    here.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                         Mr. Quinn.
16
             MR. QUINN: Well, I mean, the plaintiff wanted to use
17
    him as a witness. There's Rules of Federal Procedure.
18
     could have subpoenaed him.
19
         He's listed on our witness list, but as with Lieutenant
20
     Padilla, we may call him but, as the case proceeds, we may
21
     decide not to, so --
                         Okay. Well --
22
              THE COURT:
23
             MR. CUNNINGHAM: But we put him on the original
    witness list back --
24
25
              THE COURT: No, I know, but counsel's right. You did
```

```
have a burden to -- to --
 1
 2
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Notify.
              THE COURT: -- subpoena him yourself.
 3
          However, it may have been that -- that he was -- that
 4
 5
     there was a misunderstanding that you intended to call him and
     then changed your mind subsequent to that. I mean, I'm a
 6
     little concerned about -- about that.
 7
              MR. QUINN: Well, the defendants hadn't had any
 8
     conversations with plaintiffs or anybody about calling Curry or
 9
10
     not calling Curry.
11
              THE COURT:
                         All right. So there was no discussion
     about that.
12
13
              MR. QUINN:
                          Absolutely none.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Other than putting him on our list,
14
15
              THE COURT: Well, I understand that, but that's not
16
17
     enough.
18
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
              THE COURT: Well, why don't we proceed this
19
20
     afternoon -- this afternoon, and I'll think about it, and we'll
21
     see where we go at the end of the day; all right?
22
              MR. QUINN:
                          Thank you.
23
              THE COURT:
                          Madam Reporter?
                    (Court and court reporter confer.)
24
25
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. With respect to depositions, we have five 2 MR. QUINN: sealed depositions that we may be referring to. 3 We were wondering, we have some electronics or technology 4 5 here where we -- we were wondering if we could use the sealed depos after they're opened and put them up on the ELMO. 6 THE COURT: Have they -- They've obviously been 7 exchanged with counsel; is that correct? 8 Well, counsel was at the deposition. 9 MR. QUINN: THE COURT: At the depositions. All right. 10 11 Yeah, I see no reason why not. All right. Why don't we proceed. Miss Clark, if you 12 13 could call the jury in. (Pause in proceedings.) 14 (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:) 15 16 THE CLERK: Okay. We're back on the record in civil 17 07-2809, Ivan Cleveland versus Ben Curry. THE COURT: Mr. Cunningham, your opening statement. 18 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 **OPENING STATEMENT** 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is a job for me now to try to 21 22 orient you with -- as to the evidence that is going to be 23 brought in, what the evidence will show, and what you will be called upon to interpret, understand and interpret. 24 25 And it's all about stuff that happens in what a lot of

OPENING STATEMENT / CUNNINGHAM

people call another world. It's been called a world apart but it's actually in this world, it's part of this world. It's a prison, a big part of this world, a big part, and yet there is a huge difference in what life is like in the prison.

So you're going to learn that these guys, all of whom have done a lot of time already, and four of them who have life sentences although they're all working on getting parole, and Ivan Cleveland had a sentence with an 85 percent rule. That means that you can only get 15 percent off for good time.

So they've been living in prison for a long time. And in prison, one of the things that happens to you constantly is, you get searched. You get patted -- You get what they call a clothed-body search.

There are other kind of searches, like strip search when you take off your clothes and your body's inspected when you're naked, and they have even further ability in a given case when they have a reasonable suspicion.

You don't need any suspicion to search, unlike if you were on the street, to search prisoners at random in the prison moving from place to place. They can be searched really at the drop of the hat.

And in the prison at Soledad, which is where they all were when this took place, which is down near Salinas, there's a huge central corridor that's very long and all of the cell blocks are -- you enter the cell blocks off of the corridor.

OPENING STATEMENT / CUNNINGHAM

You enter other places, the -- the mess hall, the kitchen, counselor's offices, different job sites. You go to the yard from the cell block. Visits. Just about anyplace you're going to go from a cell block, or what they call a wing down there. You're going to go out of the wing into the corridor and go where you're going someplace in the corridor.

Now, they have guards stationed in the corridor, mostly pretty much a couple at each place where you can come into the corridor and go out of the corridor, but not necessarily any fixed place.

And a certain number of those guys, pretty much all of them, are -- are -- are -- among their other duties, when they're on duty in the corridor, is to perform random clothed-body searches.

And complaints of the plaintiffs in this case are about random clothed-body searches as they were carried out by Officer Abanico. Officer Abanico at the time -- and, again, these -- these events are all six or seven years old. For reasons which need'nt concern you, it's taken us this long to get to this point where they have claims against them.

But during 2006 and 2007 -- 2006 he was fairly new. And when he came to work in the corridor, there started to be complaints about the way he searched. And ultimately there were quite a big number of complaints about the way he searched and specifically that frequently he went over the line

sexually. He would grab the genitals. He would squeeze them.

He would grab the penis. He would squeeze it.

When they search you, you get -- you're told to assume the position, so to speak. You get on the wall with your hands up on the wall like this (indicating), and they spread your legs, and they want you leaning.

So the point is, you're in a secure position. You can't -- It's difficult for you to make any kind of move without first, you know, retaining your balance and doing whatever.

And the officer's behind you. And the second officer is usually there with his back to the search that's taking place, and he's watching the rest of the traffic in the corridor. He's making sure they keep moving and don't stop to watch what's going on in the search. He's making sure nobody comes out of the corridor, traffic, and up to the place where the search is going on. He just -- So he's -- he's a little security for the search that's happening by the other officer.

And in the searches that we're complaining about, Officer Abanico would be doing a search from behind and he would have another officer there, but that officer wouldn't be watching. He wouldn't see what happened and wouldn't necessarily know what happened.

And the prisoner wouldn't necessarily know who it was.

Even though it might be someone he was perfectly familiar with,

by the time he gets pulled out of the traffic and put on the wall, he's paying attention to the officer who's ordering him to do that, and that would be in these cases Officer Abanico.

The . . . When you're in prison, and when you're in prison as long as these guys have been in prison, you've been searched thousands of times.

If you've been in prison 10 years, you've undoubtedly been searched at least once a day. So that's 3,000 searches, 3600 searches right there. So if you've been down 20 years, you've got 7, 8, 10,000 searches.

Every one of these men will tell you nobody ever searched them the way Officer Abanico did that caused them to file complaints against him. They had never had their genitals grabbed and squeezed, nothing like that, except in these cases.

And that, I think, is going to be a very important element of the evidence here, as -- as will be also the fact that so many people complained about him and there are no other complaints about any other officers during this period of time, or otherwise, as far as we know, doing that kind of thing in the search. Okay?

When they make the complaints, there's a whole process that the complaints go through. They -- There's a -- what they call an informal review where a staff member who's close around this situation where the whatever events took place, sits down with the officer -- with the prisoner and asks him what it's

1 about.

And if they can't resolve it, the -- the prisoner on his -- on the written grievance form -- And you'll see some of those forms. They're called 602s. That's a CDC serial number and they've got a million forms and these are the forms, 602s, that they use.

He says he's dissatisfied. The case then goes to what they call the second level. And now it's looked at in a more formal way by a higher ranking officer.

And they always say they -- they -- they call -- These complaints, we call it 602s, are entitled in the institution Inmate Appeal. So everything is an appeal from that first process of informal discussion with a local or Security Officer or somebody like that. Maybe even the officer. Many times it's the officer with whom you have the grievance against sits down with you and try to straighten it out. And of course they have to do that.

But when they have a staff complaint, the prisoner is complaining specifically about some kind of misconduct by the staff member or some injury received or whatever against the staff member.

They often do what they call a bypass and they'll stamp the form "Bypass," and this means it goes right to the second level.

And then there's a third level which is -- is -- that it

goes to the Director's office, the Director of the CDC, our California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation in Sacramento. And the results of whatever's in the file, whatever's been done at the institution, are reviewed and then a decision is made and given back to the inmate.

Inmate. Let me parenthetically say they're all called inmates. They're always called inmates. They're addressed as inmates in formal papers. They won't use their first name and say Inmate Cleveland, Inmate Jones and a number. So they become used to thinking of themselves as inmates.

I try to never use the word unless I have to. I call them prisoners because I think the "inmates" is a demeaning kind of a -- it's a -- it's part of a process that reduces them to something, you know, special if not less than human, and it objectifies them. All right? They're objectified because they're in prison.

There are security rules in prison. They get searched all the time in prison. This is the way prison life goes. But this is what -- The rules, then, that protect them from abuse in that circumstance, in that setting, become all that more important, as you'll see, in the Eighth Amendment. Prohibition of cruel and unusual punishments is the Constitutional basis for those protections.

And what we're doing in a civil rights case is considering whether a violation has occurred -- occurred. You're actually

the agency by which the Constitution is enforced by private parties when they -- when there is a complaint of wrongdoing by an official. So that's what this is. That's the Constitutional provision that's involved.

What you'll learn in this case is that complaints by these men and complaints by a number of others and petitions, in particular a petition that was filed towards the beginning of this sequence of -- of complained-of searches was filed by Ivan Cleveland with -- and it was styled in such a way that a number of other prisoners could sign it. And there were 80 or 90, dozens of prisoners -- and you'll see that document -- signed their names and numbers to his statement that Officer Abanico was doing these searches in this wrong way.

You'll see there's -- between his and any of the other complaints that you get to see, some you'll -- you know, there'll be some by play with the Court as to which ones you see and which ones you don't, but I'm certain you'll see that one.

And you'll see that there were all these people who . . . laid their names on the line, who -- who -- who took a stand about these searches by this officer and -- and demanded, so to speak, that they -- the institution, the administration, do something about it.

And you'll hear from the prisoners that that's not, you know, a trifling thing when you make a complaint against a

staff member. You often are told, "Well, if you're going to persist in this complaint, we're going to have to put you in the hole in order to protect you."

Protect you from what, you might ask? Well, from some retaliation, apparently, by the staff.

They were -- Many of them on many occasions were -- were threatened with that, and they stood firm and -- and not all of them -- they didn't -- I don't think any of them went to the hole immediately because of filing the complaint, even though they were threatened.

But you'll also learn that after this petition was filed, with all these names on it, that the security squad came and called all these guys that had signed it and one by one told them, "You'd better take it back or you're going to the hole."

And they had a video camera so that they could record the statement of -- of each inmate recanting his subscription to the petition, because of the threat, a guy who said, "I'm getting ready to go to the Board. I can't have this on my record. You know, you've got to excuse me. I can't stick with it."

Some of them did; some of them didn't. There -- There -- We don't have any numbers or any particulars about that, but we know that happened. Ivan Cleveland will testify about it. He was there when it happened.

The -- The . . . The appeal process, then, that they had

to go through is -- is this series of steps. But there is a principle that -- and a rule within the institution that if you're dealing with a complaint against a staff member, the prisoners don't get to hear the outcome. If action is taken or not taken, it's not announced to them. It's not -- They don't get something back and say this is how this thing came out.

If -- If the -- If a -- If a staff member that they complained about tells them what happened in the complaint, one way or another, then they find out. Or if it's about some certain practice that's going on and in the end they find out in that kind of a way.

But there's no -- The process itself is set up in such a way as to keep the information from them. And you can understand that there's, again, a security concern and -- and a . . . a concern about the relations between the prisoners and the staff.

The Supreme Court said in a case that -- in a prison, the prisoners and the guards live in a state of unremitting attention. And this is certainly true because there's such a vast difference in the power positions.

And the -- And the prisoners are at risk of getting in trouble in such a way it goes on their record and then it goes -- then the Parole Board sees it. Then it prejudices them in terms of job assignments. It gets, you know -- There's all kind of consequences to it.

And -- And the -- So the tension between the two sides is -- is -- is not between equals; it's between the dominant and the submissive side. It's between the people with the power and people without it.

They're not entirely without power. There's things they can do, but there's consequences also.

You'll hear one or more of them say, you know, things have changed in the recent years in terms of the tightness of the way things are run. "If -- If somebody had done that to me in a search 25 years ago, I'd have turned around and punched them out, but I didn't dare do that here, and it's been impressed upon me in the years in between that it wouldn't ever be worth the consequences." As much as you might be upset about what this guy did or was doing, you have to contain yourself.

Now, they don't -- they didn't contain themselves. You'll hear the defense say, "Well, they've all testified -- or several of them have testified that any grabbing of their genitals or squeezing was quick, two or three seconds, and, therefore, what difference does it make, you know? Tough luck." I mean, you're in prison.

But the reason it was so short is because they did come off the wall. They did jerk away from the guy. "What are you doing? What's your problem?" You know, it was a shock. "Get back on the wall, sir. Get back on the wall."

And when he has you on the wall, he can lean his arm, his

elbow, in your back and then search you. He can search you
with two hands what he ought to do, on your legs, on your arms,
your front and back, but he can also put his elbow in your back
and jam you against the wall so he knows that he's got control
of you and then do the search with one hand, whatever he's got
left to pat down.

Now, you have to think of anatomy. We're talking about male anatomy.

There's an instruction and a strong point that you'll hear from the defense is, well, they had more or less . . . escalated the search technique recently, reasonably enough so that Officer Abanico will claim this was the way he was trained in the Academy a short time before he went to work at Soledad, that in order to counteract the threat of contraband or the possibility that contraband would get through, they were taught to do what they call, quote-unquote, cup the groin. Cup the groin.

Now, the cup, the understanding is a cupping of the hand flat. But if you think about the anatomy, the legs come up in a V. The space between the legs in the V, that's the groin.

You can -- You're not supposed to look up in the dictionary but that's where the groin is.

In front -- If he has his back to you, in front of that are his genitals. But you can't really cup your hand up there because it comes to a V. So you're going to turn your hand one

way or another, and if you think about it, if he's coming up the leg, up the thigh, the inside hand is going to reach the V and be stopped there, and anything that's above that, such as something sewn into the underwear, a pack of dope or, you know, whatever, you're going to feel it at that point when underwear goes against the groin.

Now, I told you this ain't -- it's not a pleasant subject matter, but these details are important because the claim is going to be that they could -- all they were -- all he was doing was cupping the groin or cupping the genitals, and these guys just had to learn to live with that. That's the way it's done.

You'll hear -- I believe you'll hear the Warden testify -the former Warden -- that when he found out they were doing
that, he was shocked. Why was he shocked? Because he'd been
in the system 30 or 40 years and he knew that this is a
volatile thing. This is a thing -- It's an event to begin with
the search. It's unpleasant and . . . the feeling about
vulnerability and the feeling about protecting the genitals is
such that, you know, it can blow up easily.

It's, among other things, a credit to the restraint that these men had developed after years in prison, and a credit to -- or a result of the strength of the disciplinary regimen that they, rather than turning around and socking the guy, they endured it. I mean, except to the extent that they pulled

away.

Now, a couple of them will tell you they pulled away, and they refused to be searched by this officer again. And there again, you're disobeying a direct order, so they took the risk of going to the hole when they did that, but you -- in the particular cases, none of them did.

One of them was locked up in a . . . briefly on the corridor in what they call the cage, where they put anybody that they're going to have -- have a special problem with that they're going to deal with.

An officer claimed that he pulled him over because he was wearing a -- a inmate fashion -- inmate fashion earring, which is against the rules. And so he spent a day, or the shift, whatever it was, in the cage, and then he was written up for that on another form, it's called a 115, and charged with -- with this wrongful possession of this earring.

But when he had a hearing, there was the other officer who was present said there wasn't any earring, so he was exonerated. But he was -- He has the 115 in his record, and he will spend that time locked up.

So the -- the . . . You'll find -- You'll learn that, in the process of the appeals, all the appeals that are made against them -- I believe it's 18 in this two-year -- one-year -- 13-month period. They started in August of 2006 and ran all the way till -- I believe the last one was filed in

the -- toward the end -- September or October of '07 with the exception of one other that was filed later in an incident that seemed to be a sequel.

But the fact is that the searches that everybody was complaining about stopped at a certain point toward the end of 2007, after 12, 13, 14 months of constant complaints, all of which were rejected. All the complaints, including the ones that were signed by dozens of prisoners.

There was one of those in October of '06, there was another one in June of '07, and they were . . . they were turned down. They were denied. They were rejected as appeals.

And the excuse -- There was a kind of double excuse always that he's only searching you the way he's been trained. How they thought he knew he didn't go beyond the way he was trained, I don't know, but they accepted his word for it.

And whoever looked into it after the Warden was presented with the first petition, and who was concerned about that for the reason that I stated, because of his experience, and he had to learn at that point that this is how we do it now. And he was very dubious but he accepted that, obviously, that's how they do it now.

They said the searches were all by the book. And furthermore, "You're not presenting us enough -- enough evidence. Your -- Your complaint could not be substantiated."

Well, how would you substantiate in the course of such a

search if the guy grabbed the -- the person, they grabbed him 1 or not or squeezed him or not. It's over, and who -- what 2 other substantiation would there be besides his word that he 3 did it -- that it happened? 4 If they had -- I'm sorry. Excuse me just a sec. 5 Let me 6 get a little water here. (Pause in proceedings.) 7 There was no substantiation available MR. CUNNINGHAM: 8 really and it was just something to say denying the appeal. 9 Some of it is more elaborate and they see some of that 10 11 language. They're also going to say in every one of those cases, "Your appeal was partially granted as an inquiry was 12 made into your complaint. "The very fact of looking into it at 13 that second level is -- is considered and used as a partial 14 15 grant of an appeal. 16 So now their statistics look okay; right? They're 17 partially granting all these appeals because they actually see 18 what they're about and maybe they go talk to somebody about it. They do something. 19 This is supposed to be a granting but it's really a -- a 20 jargon -- misuse of jargon really because nothing was granted. 21 No relief. The relief was denied. 22 23 Sometimes they make a big thing. "Oh, your appeal was

partially granted." Some people think, when they see the

paper, "Wow, my appeal was partially granted," but nothing

24

25

happened, or I don't know what happened because it was about a
staff member and they never tell you, anyway.

But you'll see -- I believe you'll see at least one where it says "partially granted" in that you were looked into.

Okay. The Warden, when he got the -- when the first group appeal, the petition, was brought to his attention, he had -- you know, he spoke to Associate Warden, I think -- I'm not sure -- a Deputy Warden. He spoke to a couple of different people and sent them to find out what they could.

In particular, he sent a Lieutenant Biggs, who's the head of the ISI, Institutional Security Inspectors, or something like that, who they call the goon squad. These are the people that came down and demanded that the guy that signed the petition take it back.

Lieutenant Biggs, in order to investigate how Abanico did his searches, testified in deposition that he went down and did some searches -- spent part of his shift in the corridor near the place that Abanico was working and did searches and watched him out of the corner of his eyes but didn't see anything wrong with what he was doing.

Well, I don't think you would do that if the Lieutenant is right down the way doing the same thing. And you don't know if he's -- that he's observing you necessarily. I don't think he told them, but he's there.

And if something happens, if you cause somebody to jump

off the wall and holler at you, the Lieutenant's going to be there, so he didn't do it.

We're not saying he did it every time he searched anybody. We are saying he did it a lot and all those people that signed the petition claimed he did it to them. And all the people filed the 602s, claimed he did it to them, and these guys claim he did it to them.

A couple of them only had one chance. Another one, Huff, said he pulled him over again and again. And he wouldn't grab them those times; he would just rub them real hard underneath.

There -- There wouldn't be a reason to pull the same guy over again and again unless you're messing with him.

So that's the essence of it. I mean, that's what I think you're going to learn here, is, those searches like that took place and -- and -- and they didn't do anything about it.

Now, if you decide that he didn't abuse them, he didn't cross the line, he didn't wrong them, do this in a wrong and maybe a prurient way, that will be the end of it.

But if you decide that he did, you're also going to have to decide whether the Warden is coresponsible with him because he -- you know, because they white-washed all the complaints.

They just rejected them, and he was in on that process and he was in charge. And if it's his responsibility to make sure that -- and -- and where he's on notice that some

Constitutional violations may be taking place, it's up to him

to take -- to take steps to make sure they don't or that they ended.

And he said he didn't do that unless he did it at the end of the 13 months or whatever it was, because he does -- he did testify that, at some point, which he can't remember and you'll -- there's no record of it, but that he spoke with Abanico and he told him, "You'd better find a way to do these searches without getting everybody so angry with you and without making people upset and without filling my office with complaints and petitions and stuff."

And after that, apparently, it stopped. I say we don't know the precise timing but we do know it's all happening in a span of time and then it was over. There hasn't been another complaint against Abanico since then.

In all these years, I believe he's probably -- I don't know. He may be a Sergeant by now. He's a very upstanding citizen by his peers. I'm sure he has a wife and family. He's been in the service.

He's, you know, a very presentable man, but he has this problem. We can't even say that it wasn't, you know, a special problem that he had. It might have just been an aggression problem; this is the mode that he used. And he might have just been thinking that he had to show these guys who was boss and this was the mode that he did.

Nobody else did it. Nobody else had a complaint against

And you can believe there are plenty of officers who 1 them. make their -- whose random clothed-body searches for security 2 purposes are done in a vigorous way. There's some where 3 they're not. 4 5 But there are some where the book is followed and the book says you don't grab the genitals. You don't squeeze the 6 You don't do that stuff. Obviously, the training 7 scrotum. would be you've got to watch out not to do that stuff. 8 So I think you're going to see very clearly that he was 9 over the line again and again, that that was an abuse that 10 11 created the situation that violated the Eighth Amendment because these guys had no recourse. 12 And that's partly the warden's fault also because he 13 didn't get them in the very first day and saying, "What's going 14 15 on here? How come all these people have signed a thing against 16 you?" He didn't have anybody else to do that, either. He let it 17 He hooked up with Lieutenant Biggs saying, oh, they 18 must be doing some crooked stuff and he's interfering with --19 20 MR. QUINN: Objection, Your Honor: Argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained. 21 Counsel, this is --22 23 MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right, Judge. THE COURT: This is opening statement. 24 MR. CUNNINGHAM: 25 Um-hmm.

```
Please explain to the jury what your
 1
              THE COURT:
     belief is that the evidence will show.
 2
                          (Pause in proceedings.)
 3
                              Hang on just a minute.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
 4
 5
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
                               There -- There was -- Ivan Cleveland
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
 6
 7
     in particular is the prisoner who initiated this lawsuit.
     did it on his own representing himself but talking about the
 8
     thing that was happening to lots of prisoners.
 9
          He's the same guy who got up the first petition. He also
10
11
     initiated -- attempted to initiate a case in the County Court
     back then in the fall of '06 to -- to see if he could get some
12
     intervention from there.
13
          At that time, he -- along with the petition that he filed,
14
     he filed about 16 or 17 affidavits that were handwritten.
15
16
     handwrote a form --
17
              MR. QUINN:
                         Objection, Your Honor.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: -- and there --
18
              THE COURT:
                         Hold on, Mr. Cunningham.
19
20
              MR. QUINN:
                         This is hearsay; relevance. It's also the
21
     subject of a motion in limine --
22
                          All right.
              THE COURT:
23
              MR. QUINN:
                         -- previously.
          If we could request a side bar, that would be the most
24
     efficient.
25
```

1 THE COURT: Okay. It should be brief. 2 MR. QUINN: (Side bar heard, commencing at 1:45 PM.) 3 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were. 4 5 Heard in the presence of the jury at 1:47 PM:) MR. CUNNINGHAM: You'll learn there was, in a couple 6 7 of cases at least, what the prisoners regard as retaliation against them for the complaints. There was not -- In one case, 8 Abanico was involved in; in another case, he wasn't. 9 There's no telling really, except for the coincidence, 10 11 whether this happened because of them making trouble for him about this practice. 12 13 Now, I'm going to be done now and -- and counsel will talk to you about what they think the case is going to show, and 14 15 then we'll start. 16 Mr. Trask is going to testify and, Judge, we -- our plan is to -- for him to testify first just about the general 17 circumstances in the prison. Then I think we would interrupt 18 his testimony, if the Court will allow us then, and let him 19 come later in the sequence of prisoners telling about their 20 individual case. 21 22 All right. THE COURT: 23 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. And so I invite your strong attention to the details of this evidence, and I hope that when 24 you have heard it all, you'll understand that this is a bad 25

OPENING STATEMENT / QUINN

thing and it happens all the time.

THE COURT: Mr. Quinn, opening.

OPENING STATEMENT

MR. QUINN: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Michael Quinn. I'm a Deputy Attorney General for the State of California and I represent the defendants in this matter.

Ladies and gentlemen, this is a simple case. It involves a Correctional Officer who was doing his job at a correctional facility in Soledad, California, a job that involves among other things conducting clothed-body -- random clothed-body searches of inmates as they moved between locations in the prison.

Prisons in California can be chaotic and violence places, and officers routinely conduct clothed-body searches in order to prevent inmates from smuggling and transporting contraband, such as weapons and drugs between locations within the prison.

The evidence will show that, as part of a clothed-body search, officers like Officer Abanico are told to cup the groin. Cupping the groin is essential during a clothed-body search because inmates oftentimes attempt to conceal contraband below the waist, in the groin area.

Failing to conduct a thorough search places the entire institution at risk, because the weapons or other contraband can be used to attack other inmates or correctional staff at

1 | the prison.

Now, as Mr. Cunningham mentioned, plaintiffs in this case have alleged that the clothed-body search -- searches that Abanico conducted violated the Eighth Amendment.

But in this case, the evidence will establish that

Defendant Abanico did not violate the Eighth Amendment during
the clothed-body searches. To the contrary, he conducted the
clothed-body searches in accordance with Departmental policy,
in accordance with State law, which requires some contact with
the groin area in order to accomplish the purpose of the
search.

The evidence will show they he did not sexually abuse, harass or molest these inmates during these searches. To the contrary, the contact with the groin was for a limited duration, one to two seconds for the most part.

And the evidence will also indicate that he did not place his hands beneath the clothing of the inmates. He did not say anything of a sexual nature to the inmates, and he did not physically injure these inmates during the searches.

In short, Officer Abanico was doing his job as required under the regulations. He was discharging his duties as a Correctional Officer.

Now, during the trial, you will hear from several defendants -- several witnesses who will speak on behalf of defendants. You will hear from a Correctional Lieutenant --

OPENING STATEMENT / QUINN

Correctional Lieutenant Stoltenberg who works at the 1 Department's training center in Galt, California. 2 He will explain to you how officers are trained to conduct 3 clothed-body searches and the necessity of cupping the groin in 4 5 order to conduct a thorough search. In addition, you'll here from Officer Abanico himself. 6 Не 7 will testify regarding how he was trained to conduct the searches and how he actually conducts the searches at the 8 Correctional Training Facility where he's worked for nearly a 9 10 decade. 11 In conclusion, ladies and gentlemen, I want to reemphasized that this case is about an officer who was doing 12 his job, who was conducting clothed-body searches as he was 13 required to, and there was no evidence that he harassed, 14 15 molested or abused the inmates during the search. 16 Because the plaintiffs' rights have not been violated 17 under the Eighth Amendment, the defendants request that a 18 judgment be rendered in their favor. And I thank you for your attention. 19 20 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Quinn. 21 Mr. Cunningham, your first witness. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, our first witness is plaintiff 22 Kenneth Trask. 23

THE CLERK: Will you please come over here and take

24

25

the witness stand.

1	THE COURT: I noticed some of the jurors fanning
2	themselves. It is a little hot in here. I did ask that the
3	air conditioning to be turned up a little bit. Hopefully,
4	knowing the government, that will mean it will go from really
5	hot to really cold. It's difficult, I guess, to control it.
6	THE CLERK: Will you please stand and raise your right
7	hand.
8	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Excuse me, Judge. Can the clerk and
9	I speak to you for one second here?
10	THE COURT: Well
11	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Just I'm sorry. I forgot to do
12	this.
13	(Sidebar conference heard but not reported.)
14	THE CLERK: Can you raise your right hand.
15	KENNETH TRASK,
16	called as a witness for the Plaintiffs, having been duly sworn,
	carred as a withess for the Frankfirs, having been dury sworn,
17	testified as follows:
17	
	testified as follows:
18	testified as follows: THE CLERK: Please be seated.
18 19	testified as follows: THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your full name for the Court and spell your
18 19 20	testified as follows: THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your full name for the Court and spell your last name.
18 19 20 21	testified as follows: THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your full name for the Court and spell your last name. THE WITNESS: My name is Kenneth Trask, T-R-A-S-K.
18 19 20 21 22	testified as follows: THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your full name for the Court and spell your last name. THE WITNESS: My name is Kenneth Trask, T-R-A-S-K. THE CLERK: Thank you.

- 1 A. I'm 57 years old.
- 2 Q. You're a prisoner in the California Department of
- 3 | Corrections?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 **Q.** How long have you been in there?
- 6 A. Oh, this term, I've been in almost 30 years.
- 7 **Q.** All right. And you had a term before that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 **Q.** Okay. And are you eligible for parole?
- 10 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 11 **Q.** Are you working on your parole?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Okay. You were at CTF, California Training Facility,
- 14 | Soledad in 2006-2007?
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 **Q.** How long were you at Soledad?
- 17 A. I spent about 10 years there.
- 18 Q. Uh-huh. And when did you leave there?
- 19 A. I left in September . . . Well, no. I left in April 24th
- 20 of 2008.
- 21 **Q.** Okay. That was shortly after this -- you began -- you
- 22 became involved in this case; is that right?
- 23 A. Yes. I spent eight -- eight months in the hole, and I was
- 24 | transferred from the hole to --
- 25 MR. LEWIS: Objection, Your Honor, as to the use of

- 1 | the word "hole." It's not a recognized term within the
- 2 | California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.
- 3 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 4 MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 5 Q. What is the hole?
- 6 A. The hole is, to explain it, is a prison inside of the
- 7 | prison. They call it administrative segregation where you're
- 8 | separated from the other inmates in the institution. You have
- 9 no contact with other inmates. You can't use the phone, and
- 10 you're locked up basically 24 hours a day, unless you choose to
- 11 go out into the yard, and you can go out to the yard sometimes
- 12 | in the morning and sometimes in the morning -- sometimes in the
- 13 | afternoon, and you're allowed to either be on the yard by
- 14 | yourself or you can be out there with other inmates.
- 15 Q. All right. Before you went to the hole, were you -- where
- 16 | were you assigned to -- your living quarter?
- 17 | A. I was assigned to D Wing.
- 18 Q. Okay. And is B Wing (sic) one of the wings that empties
- 19 on to the main corridor?
- 20 | A. I was in D Wing.
- 21 Q. D Wing. I'm sorry.
- 22 A. Yeah, delta.
- 23 Q. Can you describe for the jury the layout of the corridor
- 24 and the wings?
- 25 **A.** Yes. The corridor is about maybe a quarter of a mile

- 1 long. You have 10 different wings inside the corridor that
 2 faces the corridor.
- One of the wings is the hold, which has no communication or anything with other inmates. The other wings are -- house
- 5 at least 250 inmates in each one.
- The two bigger wings, F and G, houses 300 inmates. And
- 7 | this corridor is like -- it's like a little city. Like
- 8 Mr. Cunningham was telling you, it's where we exit our
- 9 | buildings and go to work. We go to work, we go to hospital, we
- 10 go to the yard, we go to the canteen, and, you know, other
- 11 | places. You can't -- You can't get to these other places
- 12 | without going through the corridor.
- 13 Q. Okay. And the corridor runs east and west; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes, sir. It runs from, yeah, east and west.
- 15 Q. Okay. So -- And which end is the yard on?
- 16 A. That's on the west side.
- 17 **Q.** Okay. And where is the mess hall located?
- 18 **A.** The mess hall is more, like, in the center of the corridor
- 19 between -- It would be centered between west and east.
- 20 Q. Okay. And if it's running west to east --
- 21 **A.** Um-hmm.
- 22 | Q. -- a quarter of a mile --
- 23 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. -- then there's wings on the north side and wings on the
- 25 | south side?

- 1 A. Well, down at the lower corridor, there's only -- On the
- 2 | left side -- By the way, the corridor itself is about maybe 15
- 3 to 20 feet wide.
- 4 Q. About from you to me?
- 5 A. Yes, about there.
- 6 **Q.** Is that about right?
- 7 A. Yes. So you have -- On the west side, you have two -- You
- 8 have two wings that's on the left side of the corridor, which
- 9 | will be F and G. All the other wings are on the other side of
- 10 the corridor.
- 11 Q. The housing wings.
- 12 A. They're housing wings as well --
- 13 **Q.** Yeah.
- 14 A. -- but they're outside the corridor.
- 15 Q. I understand. And then places like the infirmary or the
- 16 | counselor's office or the school --
- 17 **A.** Mostly are on the left side of the corridor, yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Further down to the --
- 19 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 20 **Q.** -- east?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. And D Wing is on the south side?
- 23 | A. D Wing is on the -- D Wing is the second wing from the
- 24 | yard, which you have -- You have E wing, D Wing, C wing, and
- 25 then you go down the corridor.

Each wing -- During these moments, one of the officers from those wings, his job was to go out and stand in front of the wing. When the inmates exit the wings, his job was to make sure they were going where they're supposed to go.

For instance, if I came out of the wing and I turned west to go to the yard, but I was supposed to be going east, then I would be stopped and asked where I was going.

- Q. How do they know which inmates are supposed to go where?
- A. Well, normally the officers who are on the outside of the -- a wing are familiar with the inmates in the wing.

So with the different releases, the officer know -- they know if it's work time you're going to work. If you have a ducat to go to hospital, so to speak, he know that you're going to the hospital.

Some of the officers outside the wing will ask you where you're going. They want to see your ID, see your pass, so they can make note of where you're going.

18 Q. What's a ducat?

- A. A ducat is a little form that you get saying that you're being released to go to the hospital, or you're being released to go to laundry, or you're being released to go maybe on a job interview, so . . .
- **Q.** Is that the same as a pass?
- 24 A. It is a pass, yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Okay. A pass is a ducat. A ducat --

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 **Q.** -- is a pass?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. So when you come out of your cell and you're going
- 5 | someplace, you have to bring an I.D. you mentioned?
- 6 A. You have to have your I.D. on you at all times.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what about a ducat? You need a ducat to go to
- 8 the yard?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. You need a ducat to go --
- 11 **A.** You only need a ducat when you have appointment. You may
- 12 | have appointment for the hospital, you may have appointment to
- 13 report to the Sergeant's office, to the counselor's office, or
- 14 | somewhere like that.
- 15 Q. Okay. So everybody who's passing in the corridor doesn't
- 16 have a deduct.
- 17 **A.** No, sir.
- 18 Q. They don't have to check deducts.
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 | Q. Okay. And what -- what -- what is the staffing of a wing,
- 21 | like the wing you were in? What kind of officers do they have
- 22 | in there?
- 23 A. Well, normally there's -- there's three tiers in the wing.
- 24 You have at least --
- 25 **Q.** Three or four?

- 1 A. Three or four, yes. You have at least three officers in 2 that wing.
- You also have -- Again, like, one of the officers leave
 when there's movement, but there's normally at least three

 officers in each wing. It may be more at a time.
- 6 Q. Do they have a Sergeant in the wing?
- 7 **A.** No, sir.
- 8 Q. Where is the nearest Sergeant?
- 9 A. According to D Wing, the counselor's office is between
- 10 | E Wing and D Wing. The sergeant's office is down in the center
- 11 corridor.
- 12 | Q. Okay. And that Sergeant, is he responsible for the
- 13 corridor?
- 14 A. You have different sergeants. You have -- The officers in
- 15 | the corridor are assigned to a certain part of the corridor.
- 16 | That corridor may have a Sergeant for that part.
- But, like, for instance, E Wing, F Wing, G Wing and D Wing
- 18 was just one part of the corridor where a Sergeant will be
- 19 appointed to that part and that part only.
- 20 After you go down to report to other wings, you have
- 21 another Sergeant for that.
- 22 Q. Okay. So it's like those last four wings just before the
- 23 | yard, there's one Sergeant there?
- 24 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Another Sergeant in toward the middle?

- 1 | A. Well, all Sergeants will be assigned to the same
- 2 | Sergeant's office. But the Sergeant that's responsible for D,
- 3 E, C and G would be one particular Sergeant.
- 4 **Q.** Okay.
- 5 A. But they all will be -- They all will be in the same
- 6 office.
- 7 Q. And when -- You said when there's going to be movement --
- 8 There's certain times of the day when there's movement of
- 9 prisoners out of the cell blocks into the corridor; right?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir. There's movement in the corridor all the time.
- 11 It's like a little city. Somebody's always up and down the
- 12 corridor.
- 13 **Q.** Okay. But there's certain times when there's a lot of
- 14 | people going; right?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 **Q.** Going to the mess hall; going to the yard.
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. At more or less a point of time.
- 19 A. Yeah. Your yard release time can vary. It could be
- 20 between 8:00, something like that. Work release, chow release.
- 21 You know, you have different releases --
- 22 **Q.** Okay.
- 23 A. -- where the corridor is really crowded. The only time
- 24 | the corridor isn't crowded is during staff changing on their
- 25 job.

- 1 Q. Shift.
- 2 **A.** Shift change, yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Shift change.
- And so did you say the tier officers come on down to the
- 5 corridor when people are coming out of the tiers?
- 6 **A.** Well, no. The tier officers stay in the building.
- 7 **Q.** One?
- 8 A. You have one officer that is assigned to the corridor. So
- 9 he will go out and stand in front of his building.
- 10 **Q.** Uh-huh.
- 11 A. If you were assigned to D Wing, when there's a release,
- 12 | you go out and stand at G -- D Wing and vice versa with other
- 13 | buildings.
- 14 Q. Okay. And besides those officers that come out of wings
- 15 during the mass movement --
- 16 **A.** Um-hmm.
- 17 | Q. -- in the corridor, are there other officers who are just
- 18 | assigned to the corridor?
- 19 A. Yes, sir. You have what's called S&Es. I couldn't tell
- 20 you what S&E stands for but these S&Es, they're back and forth,
- 21 up and down the corridors, and doing other jobs inside the
- 22 | corridor in the prison.
- 23 | Q. All right. And -- And is it the responsibility of all the
- 24 officers who may be in the corridor to make random clothed-body
- 25 | searches or just some of them?

- 1 A. Yes. Any officers can make a random body search.
- 2 Normally the officer that's assigned to your building when
- you're coming out of the building, he's the one that searches
- 4 | that particular building.
- For instance, if I'm in D Wing and the D Wing officer is
- 6 outside that building, he searches the inmates coming out.
- 7 When we're coming from the yard, the officers in the corridor
- 8 may search any inmate when he's going down the corridor to
- 9 report to whatever building or wherever he's going.
- 10 Q. If there's a release from the Wing area to the corridor --
- 11 I'm sorry -- to the yard, or to the chow hall or to work, do
- 12 | all -- does everybody get searched?
- 13 **A.** No, sir.
- 14 Q. How many people -- If you come out, go to the yard, how
- 15 | many people will get searched on the way?
- 16 A. I guess there's --
- 17 MR. LEWIS: Objection: Calls for speculation; also
- 18 assumes a fact not in evidence, Your Honor.
- 19 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Let me rephrase it.
- 21 **THE COURT:** To the extent the witness can testify from
- 22 | his own personal experience of what he's saying, he may answer.
- 23 To anything else, the objection's sustained.
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
- 25

MR. CUNNINGHAM:

1

- 2 Q. In your experience, when -- when there's a release of a
- 3 | large number of prisoners from a given Wing or from the Wing
- 4 | you're in, how many of them would get searched?
- 5 A. Well, it depends on the officer. You know, some officers
- 6 may, you know, let numerous inmates go by. But then you have
- 7 other officers that may stop numerous inmates, so it depends on
- 8 how many inmates the officer wants to search.
- 9 Q. And is it up to the officer -- As far as you know, is it
- 10 | up to the officer?
- 11 A. As far as I know, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And do -- If you -- If the tier -- If the -- the Wing
- 13 officer searches you, that's when you first come out?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, and he may search you when you going back in.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, would some other officer further down search
- 16 you also?
- 17 | A. Well, normally if you've been searched coming out your
- 18 | building, if you go down the corridor and an officer wants to
- 19 | search, you can tell him, "I've been searched." Sometimes they
- 20 | will accept it; sometimes they won't.
- 21 **Q.** Okay.
- 22 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 23 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 24 | Q. And . . . And in a -- in a ballpark or an average, say,
- 25 | in a month, during that time, those years while you were in

- 1 | Soledad, how many times would you get searched -- random
- 2 | clothed search in the corridor?
- A. Numerous of times. I mean -- You said once a day. It may not be once a day. Depends on who's in the corridor.
- 5 You can say maybe three to four times a week.
- Q. Um-hmm. Is it fair to say that different officers do the search in different ways?
- A. No, I wouldn't say that. I would say that most of the
 officers search in the same way. I never had a -- a problem
 with the way an officer would search me until Officer Abanico
 started searching me.
- 12 **Q.** Uh-huh. What do they do -- What's a normal search? Like a non-Abanico search, how does it proceed?
- A. Well, they all proceed the same way. Some officers -
 I've been in searches where you were telling how we lean on the

 wall. Some officers would have you lean so far back you'd

 almost fall. You'd put your hands on the wall and you have to

 step back. You can step back to the point where just a little
- 19 notch might tilt you over.
- 20 **Q.** Um-hmm.
- A. But that depends on the officers. Officers like you say
 will have one hand on your back while they search you with one
 hand. But they will always start at the top and work their way
 to the lower back.
- 25 Q. Start up around your neck.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Uh-huh. And they search all the way down you?
- 3 A. Yes, sir. They will search -- They will search arms,
- 4 legs, going down your body.
- 5 Q. Um-hmm. If they searched with one hand, is that because
- 6 they have --
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. -- the elbow or the hand back over you?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. If they search with two hands, do they just dispense with
- 11 that?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 **Q.** Is there kind of way you know which way they're going to
- 14 do it or any particular habit a given officer has?
- 15 **A.** In that -- In that respect, you -- again, it depends on
- 16 | the officer. You -- I knew all the officers, so their methods
- 17 | was mostly the same. Again, the only different that I ever see
- 18 or since I've been in prison, even up to now, was Abanico's
- 19 method.
- 20 **Q.** Um-hmm. And when they search your legs, do they go -- do
- 21 | they -- how do they start?
- 22 **A.** Well, they work their way down. When it comes to your
- 23 groin area and all that, they sweep down your groin area and
- 24 keep going. I've never had a officer that -- that lingered in
- 25 that area.

- 1 Q. Uh-huh. When they're doing with two hands, they go from
- 2 | the groin right down the leg?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. To the foot.
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. If they do one hand, how do they do both sides of the leg?
- 7 **A.** They do one hand if they holding you, or two hands sweep
- 8 down the leg. Sweep inside and sweep outside and move on.
- 9 Q. All right. And when they come up to the top of the thigh
- 10 with two hands, do they go all the way to the groin where they
- 11 touch your crotch?
- 12 **A.** Sometime, yes.
- 13 Q. Uh-huh. And -- And would they do the one hand the same?
- 14 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And have you had -- Strike it.
- 16 You said you never -- you only had a -- the way Abanico
- 17 | did it was different --
- 18 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 19 **Q.** -- from the way the other officers did it?
- 20 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 21 **Q.** What was the difference?
- 22 **A.** Well, it was -- Abanico would linger in that area. He
- 23 | would squeeze the testicles and sometimes squeeze the penis.
- 24 **Q.** Okay. And all . . .
- THE COURT: Counsel, I don't mean to interrupt but you

```
indicated that you --
 1
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. I'm stopping right here, Judge,
 2
     with that.
 3
              THE COURT: It's up to you. It's your witness.
                                                                Ι
 4
 5
     think you said you wanted to use him first for general --
                               I do.
                                       I do.
 6
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
              THE COURT: -- and then --
 7
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Let me just ask one further question.
 8
              THE COURT:
                         Proceed.
 9
     MR. CUNNINGHAM:
10
          You were one of the people that collected signatures for
11
     one of the petitions; right?
12
          Yes, sir. I did a petition that had 90 signatures on it.
13
     Α.
          And that was in '07, June '07?
14
15
          Yes, sir.
     Α.
16
          Okay. And when you got those signatures, did you question
17
     all those procedures --
18
     Α.
          Yes, sir.
          -- as to -- as to whether they had, and did they all
19
20
     affirm to you at least that they've been searched by Abanico --
          Yes, sir.
21
     Α.
22
          -- in the same way?
23
                         Objection: Hearsay, Your Honor.
              MR. LEWIS:
              THE COURT: Sustained.
24
```

25

1 MR. CUNNINGHAM:

- 2 Q. That's what you learned from the petition when you asked
- 3 | them sign the petition?
- 4 A. I would never let anybody sign if they wasn't searched by
- 5 the --
- 6 Q. Or they didn't tell you at least.
- 7 MR. LEWIS: Objection, Your Honor.
- 8 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 9 Q. True or false: Didn't tell you; didn't make that claim.
- 10 **THE COURT:** It's hearsay. Sustained.
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
- 12 **THE COURT:** It jurors -- The jurors are instructed to
- 13 disregard the last question and answer.
- 14 MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 15 Q. During that time, those months from August of '06 to
- 16 | September-October '07, to your knowledge, where was Abanico
- 17 assigned?
- 18 A. D Wing Corridor Officer. He would be the officer that was
- 19 assigned to that Wing but would go out in the corridor and
- 20 do -- do random searches.
- 21 Q. Okay. And the -- In the petition that you got together,
- 22 were those prisoners that signed it all from D Wing?
- 23 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 24 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. One moment, Judge.
- 25 (Pause in proceedings.)

- 1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I have no further questions of the 2 witness at this time, Judge.
- THE COURT: All right. There will be some
- 4 cross-examination, and it will be subject to recall before the

5 direct.

- 6 MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 MR. LEWIS:
- 9 **Q.** Good afternoon, Mr. Trask.
- 10 A. How you doing, sir?
- 11 Q. I want to ask a real quick question about some of the
- 12 | testimony you gave.
- I believe you said that . . . that some of the officers
- 14 mostly used the same methods, but they actually didn't; did
- 15 they? You testified that some officers use one hands but other
- 16 officers use two hands.
- 17 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Those are two different methods; aren't they?
- 19 A. If you want to call it two different methods. What I
- 20 meant is, their method was different from Abanico.
- 21 Q. Well, their method was actually different from each
- 22 other's method; wasn't it?
- 23 **A.** The two methods is the only methods I know, sir.
- 24 Q. So you're contending there's only three ways to search an
- 25 | inmate with one hand, with two hands and Abanico's way?

- 1 A. I don't know. I'm saying the way -- You talking about my
- 2 | experience, so I --
- 3 Q. So in your experience, you had -- you've been searched in
- 4 | multiple different ways; haven't you?
- 5 A. I've been searched in the way that I just told you,
- 6 | three -- either two hands or one hand or the way Abanico
- 7 searched me.
- 8 Q. You said one time there was -- there was an arm in your
- 9 | back with their elbow?
- 10 A. Sometimes.
- 11 Q. So that's another way; isn't it?
- 12 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Objection: He's arguing with the
- 13 | witness, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 15 **THE WITNESS:** Sometimes when they had the arm in the
- 16 back, that's when they would use the one hand.
- 17 MR. LEWIS:
- 18 | Q. But arm in a back is like this with a hand and then
- 19 | there's also an elbow. So those are two different ways;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. I don't know -- I don't know. My back is to the -- to the
- 22 officer. My hands are on the wall. I don't know if it's an
- 23 arm or elbow sometimes.
- 24 | Q. But you just testified there's times when you used hands
- 25 and times when you used elbows.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Did they -- Let me ask the question.
- 3 Are you saying now you didn't actually know the search was
- 4 being done to you?
- 5 A. I'm saying at different times, it could be the arm;
- 6 sometimes it could be a hand.
- 7 | Q. And other times, there could have been one hand and at
- 8 other times, it could have been two.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. You testified Officer Abanico conducted 20 clothed-body
- 11 | searches on you between 2006 and 2008 while you were at a
- 12 | Correctional Training Facility; correct?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. You don't remember any of those searches; do you?
- 15 A. No. I remember one in particular.
- 16 **Q.** What was that particular?
- 17 **A.** That would be the night that he claimed that I threatened
- 18 | to kill him, and I was sent to the hole.
- 19 Q. And was that day on September 13th, 2008?
- 20 **A.** September 13, 2007.
- 21 Q. In actuality, he didn't perform that search; did he?
- 22 **A.** Yes, sir, he did.
- 23 **Q.** He did?
- 24 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 25 **Q.** Were you deposed in this matter?

- 1 A. What's "deposed"?
- 2 Q. Where you had a -- kind of a conversation with maybe
- 3 Mr. Quinn in my office where he asked you a series of questions
- 4 in front of a court reporter. You were sworn an oath?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 **Q.** And your attorney was present; correct?
- 7 **A.** No, he wasn't.
- 8 Q. Your attorney wasn't present?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. Were you given an opportunity to understand that you were
- 11 under oath and everything you said was the truth and the whole
- 12 truth?
- 13 A. I don't remember if I was sworn in if that's what you're
- 14 asking.
- 15 Q. Do you remember raising your right hand similar to what
- 16 | you did right here?
- 17 **A.** No, sir.
- 18 Q. So is your testimony you were deposed before or weren't
- 19 deposed before?
- 20 A. I don't know. I did my testimony over the phone with just
- 21 | me and a court reporter in a room with the officers.
- 22 **Q.** And the court reporter was there taking down everything
- 23 | she wrote?
- 24 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Did you have an opportunity to review that transcript

```
afterwards?
 1
          No, sir. I reviewed it in the last couple days but that's
 2
     the only time.
 3
          And so you are familiar with what you said at that time,
 4
 5
     then.
 6
          Yes, sir.
     Α.
          All right. So I'm going to pull out an exhibit, if you
 7
     Q.
     would give me a minute here.
 8
              MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, I'm going to get Mr. Trask's
 9
     sealed deposition transcript.
10
11
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: It strikes me that this is beyond the
     scope of where I wanted to cut it off. I -- You know, he's
12
13
     cross-examining but --
              THE COURT: Well, I think he's asking right now about
14
15
     the -- the method of searches, which is what I think you --
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: That could be, but the particular
16
17
     searches --
18
              THE COURT:
                          Excuse me. Let me finish, counsel.
          You inquired about some of the details during your direct
19
20
     examination so I think your objection will be overruled at this
21
     time.
                          Your Honor, pardon my opening this.
22
              MR. LEWIS:
23
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
```

Are you going to mark that, counsel?

It's . . .

Your Honor, we could.

24

25

THE COURT:

MR. LEWIS:

```
could, but I'm going to try to read from it and maybe we'll
 1
     mark it again. If Mr. Trask is going to be up here again, we
 2
     can do it then as well.
 3
              THE COURT: Well, if you're going to use it as an
 4
 5
     exhibit and show it to the witness, I'll ask that it be marked.
              MR. LEWIS: I was going to try to use the ELMO so he
 6
     can see it.
 7
              THE COURT: Miss Clark, do we have those on the
 8
     exhibit list?
 9
              THE CLERK: I don't have an exhibit list.
10
11
              MR. LEWIS:
                          The transcripts aren't in the exhibit
            They could be. I could have this marked next in line.
12
     list.
                         Why don't you?
13
              THE COURT:
              MR. LEWIS: Ma'am, I think it would be B.
14
              THE CLERK:
15
                         B?
16
              MR. LEWIS: B for "bravo."
17
           (Defendants' Exhibit B marked for identification)
18
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
              THE COURT:
                         I assume at this time, you indicated you
19
20
     sealed it. Do you move to unseal the deposition.
21
              MR. LEWIS:
                          Yes, sir.
                          Any objection?
22
              THE COURT:
23
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                               I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
              THE COURT: Counsel moved to unseal the exhibit.
24
     objection?
25
```

- 1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Shaking head.)
- 2 MR. LEWIS: And pardon me, Your Honor, it's going to 3 take a little while to warm this up.
 - MR. CUNNINGHAM: It doesn't seem necessarily right that he can put the text up on the screen before he identifies some passage that is relevant in cross-examination.
 - THE COURT: All right. Why don't we go ahead and just lay a foundation.
 - MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor.
 - MR. CUNNINGHAM: And it also seems to me if he's going to quote from the deposition, that the -- it's one thing for it to be put up here, it's another thing for the witness to have a copy to read.
- 14 **THE COURT:** Perhaps you can give it to the witness to see what you're talking about.
- 16 MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 17 May I approach, Your Honor?
- 18 **THE COURT:** Please.
- MR. QUINN: For the record, I'm now showing Mr. Trask
 what was a sealed version of his deposition.
- 21 MR. LEWIS:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Mr. Trask, do you see this cover? And could you read that for me, please.
- 24 A. Deposition of Kenneth Trask.
- 25 | Q. Okay. And the date here is Friday, March 29th, 2013.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Having read this, do you recall maybe the conversation we
- 3 | mentioned where you were on the phone and Mr. Quinn was on the
- 4 other side and there was a court reporter there?
- 5 A. I don't know if it was Mr. Quinn was on the other side,
- 6 but I remember my deposition being taken.
- 7 Q. So you remember being deposed on this day.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, I asked you about searches that were done
- 10 by Mr. -- by Officer Abanico and you said that he searched you
- 11 on November 18th, 2007, I believe it was?
- 12 **A.** No. September 13th, 2007.
- 13 Q. I'm sorry. September 13th, 2007. I apologize for that.
- 14 I'm now going to show your deposition testimony.
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: Again, you know . . .
- 16 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 17 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, he's exhibiting the transcript
- 18 to the jury.
- 19 **THE COURT:** All right. Hold on everybody.
- Is this for impeachment, counsel?
- 21 MR. LEWIS: It is, Your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Then why don't you take -- Are
- 23 | you moving this into evidence at this time.
- MR. LEWIS: We would move it into evidence, Your
- 25 Honor.

```
Any objection to this portion being moved
 1
              THE COURT:
     into evidence?
 2
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Which portion, Judge? I think that's
 3
     the problem.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Well, do you have a copy of the
     transcript, counsel?
 6
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
 7
                              No.
              THE COURT: Well, why doesn't counsel have a copy of
 8
 9
     the transcript?
              MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, Mr. Cunningham was given --
10
11
     was given a copy of his own client's deposition. It's not my
     responsibility to bring it to trial for him.
12
13
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: No, I was not given a copy of it.
     was --
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Hold on.
16
          Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to take our afternoon
17
     break a little bit early this afternoon.
          Please return at 2:35 promptly and we'll resume for the
18
     rest of the afternoon. Thank you.
19
20
                (The jury was excused from the courtroom.)
               (Open court, jury not present, at 2:21 PM:)
21
22
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
          Oh, hold on.
23
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
24
25
              THE CLERK: You may be seated.
```

```
During the deposition, where were you,
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
    Mr. Cunningham?
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: I was in the Attorney General's
 3
     Office with Mr. Quinn and we were on the phone.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          The two of you were on the phone. Your
     client -- Mr. Trask; is it?
 6
 7
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: Was at -- was at the institution and this
 8
 9
     was done with Mr. Trask and the reporter. The two counsel were
     together, just so the Court is clear.
10
11
              MR. LEWIS:
                         Yes, sir.
12
              THE COURT: A transcript was prepared.
13
          Now, was that transcript -- Do each side have a copy of
     this transcript?
14
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
15
                               No.
16
              MR. LEWIS: Your Honor . . .
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, I do not have a copy.
17
     didn't buy the transcript. I didn't spend the extra 300 bucks
18
19
     to get that one. And I have a few pages of it that were
     included in the exhibit.
20
          I would -- I'm prepared to deal with that reality, Judge,
21
     in a trial, but I've never seen a transcript text put up on the
22
23
     screen for the jury to read as opposed to a witness being asked
     if he was asked the questions and gave the answers from the
24
25
     transcript when he can see the transcript in front of him.
```

1 | don't think that we needed a copy for that to be accomplished.

MR. LEWIS: Your Honor, it's -- This is the easiest way to get the information out for all parties concerned.

It's -- We're using the courtroom technology that we notified the Court we'd be using and allowed to use it. We've tested the system. We have it up. This is direct impeachment evidence about the statement he read.

I know Mr. Cunningham didn't want his witness to go this far but he opened the door.

THE COURT: Here's what we're doing:

Objection's overruled. Counsel can put up the transcript onto the -- to the overhead and you can ask the witness questions regarding it.

But what Mr. Cunningham indicated to me was that he was going to basically use Mr. Trask for general overlay. I did interrupt him at one point during his direct testimony and say, "Look, you seem to be going a little further." Mr. Cunningham indicated he was going to stop.

My understanding is that Mr. Cunningham is going to then redirect when it comes to the individual claims against Correctional Officer Abanico. And so to the extent that you move into those individual claims, what I'd ask is we go ahead, let Mr. Cunningham bring him on back to the stand to testify on direct. You can impeach him then with this information.

As to what he talked about, some general activities or

the -- his understanding of how daily prison life runs and how 1 people are searched, you obviously can impeach him on that at 2 this time. 3 Now, I'm going to say this: If for some reason 4 5 Mr. Cunningham does not put Mr. Trask back on, you can recall him and continue with your impeachment to the extent that he's 6 already testified. 7 Thank you, Your Honor. 8 MR. LEWIS: That was my 9 primary concern. And I don't mean to take away anything from 10 11 Mr. Cunningham's testimony (sic). THE COURT: 12 I --13 MR. LEWIS: I appreciate that, Your Honor. exactly what my concern was. 14 15 So one way or another, you'll obviously be THE COURT: able to impeach him to the extent you think it's appropriate. 16 17 But at this juncture, why don't we just focus on the general information that was elicited from this witness. Ιf 18 19 you wish to impeach him, go ahead and then obviously reserve 20 your right to then go -- go into detail as to his own personal 21 claims against Abanico. 22 MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor. We can wrap this one up 23 real quick with the jury. THE COURT: Good. 24

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Judge.

25

PROCEEDINGS

```
We'll start back up at 2:35.
 1
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
 2
              MR. LEWIS:
                       (Recess taken at 2:26 p.m.)
 3
                    (Proceedings resumed at 2:42 p.m.)
 4
 5
          (Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury:)
                          Counsel, I would just briefly direct the
 6
              THE COURT:
     attorneys' attention to Federal Rules of Evidence 613:
 7
     (reading)
 8
              "Witness' Prior Statement.
 9
              "(a) Showing or Disclosing the Statement During
10
11
          Examination. When examining a witness about the witness'
          prior statement, a party need not show it or disclose its
12
13
          contents to the witness. But the party must, on request,
          show it or disclose its contents to an adverse party's
14
15
          attorney."
16
          So I believe showing it on the overhead is up to counsel's
17
     discretion in this matter, and I'll allow you to put it on the
18
     overhead; however, prior to doing that, you'll need to show the
19
     relevant portion to plaintiffs' counsel.
20
              MR. LEWIS: Very well, Your Honor. Thank you.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, doesn't Rule 608 preclude the
21
     publication of the text, impeachment text, certainly the entry
22
     into evidence?
23
              MR. LEWIS: And, Your Honor, not only is it
24
     impeachment evidence, it's also a statement by a party
25
```

```
So, therefore, it can be used for any purpose
 1
     opponent.
     allowed under FRE. So it's not exactly controlled by the 600
 2
     series.
 3
                          This is neither reputation nor opinion
              THE COURT:
 4
 5
     evidence nor is it specific instances of conduct. So that
     motion will be overruled.
 6
 7
          All right.
                         Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
              MR. LEWIS:
 8
                         Lisa, bring them back in.
 9
              THE COURT:
          (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)
10
              THE CLERK: Please be seated.
11
          Okay. We're back on the record in Civil 07-2809, Ivan
12
13
     Cleveland versus Ben Curry.
14
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
15
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
                          Just so that the jury understands,
16
              THE COURT:
17
     Mr. Cunningham asked to bifurcate or split the testimony of
18
     this witness into a general area, and then afterwards he'll
19
     come back on to testify to any personal experiences he's had.
20
          I've asked defense counsel to also sort of limit his
21
     cross-examination to follow that particular way of presenting
     this evidence.
22
          So it will be a little bit disjointed, so I ask you to
23
     bear with us. Thank you so much.
24
25
              MR. LEWIS:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
```

- 1 Q. Mr. Trask, you had commented that you believed that when I
- 2 asked you how many searches Officer Abanico had conducted, you
- 3 | said approximately 20 searches between 2006 and 2008; correct?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. Do you remember the date of any of those specific
- 6 searches?
- 7 **A.** The only date that I remember is the date that I
- 8 mentioned.
- 9 **Q.** And was that date 9/13/2008?
- 10 \mathbf{A} . 9/13/2007. It was the day I went to the hole.
- 11 Q. All right. And do you recall that you had been deposed in
- 12 | the case and there was a transcript made of that?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. I'm now going to show you part of that transcript from
- 15 | your deposition. I've shown this to opposing counsel.
- 16 | Specifically I'm calling your attention to page 10.
- 17 Can you see that or do you want me to zoom in a little
- 18 closer?
- 19 **A.** I have a copy of it.
- 20 | Q. All right. So I'd like you to look at page 10.
- 21 **THE COURT:** Of defendants'?
- 22 MR. LEWIS: Of Defendants' Exhibit Bravo, Your Honor,
- 23 yes, B.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Okay.
- 25

BY MR. LEWIS:

1

- 2 Q. And I'd like you to look at page 14 -- oh, I'm sorry, line
- 3 | 14: (reading)
- 4 **"Q.** And you don't remember -- do you remember any
- 5 particular date -- the specific dates when those searches
- 6 occurred?
- 7 No. Only date I remember is -- will be September 13,
- 8 2008, when I was accused of threatening his life and was
- 9 put in the hole."
- 10 You testified that Officer Abanico conducted a search on
- 11 you on September 13th, 2008 or 2007; correct?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, objection. That misstates the
- 14 testimony.
- 15 **THE COURT:** That's correct. I believe, Counsel, the
- 16 | testimony was that this witness said 2007 and you've been
- 17 | indicating that it was 2008.
- 18 MR. LEWIS: My apologies.
- 19 Q. You believe he conducted the search in September 13th,
- 20 2007?
- 21 A. Yes. I have documents here where I was locked up.
- 22 | Q. Was that the date that he allegedly threatened your life
- 23 and you were put in the hole afterwards?
- 24 **A.** No. That was the date he said I threatened his life.
- 25 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. That you alleged that he threatened your

- 1 | life -- or his life -- that you threatened his life and then he
- 2 | put you in the hole or he caused you to be put in the hole?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. In your deposition testimony it says here on page 10, it
- 5 says 2008. Could that have been a mistake that you made --
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 **Q.** -- on that day?
- 8 So do you believe that really this testimony right here
- 9 where it says 2008 really was supposed to be 2007?
- 10 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Can the jury see that? Okay.
- 12 MR. LEWIS: Am I in your way? I apologize.
- 13 A JUROR: Yes.
- MR. LEWIS: I am in your way? Okay. I'll try to work
- 15 the best I can around this. That's not going to work.
- 16 Q. All right. So if you can see that this right here 2008
- 17 | should be 2007 in your mind; correct?
- 18 A. Yes, according to when I went to the hole. 2008 was not
- 19 | the date. It was 2007 --
- 20 **Q.** 2007.
- 21 **A.** -- September 13th, 2007.
- 22 | Q. All right. But you testified that on that date in your
- 23 | mind, September 13th, 2007, Defendant Abanico was actually the
- 24 one who conducted the clothed body search of you after that
- 25 | incident; correct?

- 1 A. There was two searches done that day. There was --
 - Q. Well, I'd like to -- let me go here (indicating).

3 I'm calling your attention now to page --

THE COURT: Wait. Allow the witness to answer the question.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I think he's going pretty far into it, Judge.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: There was two searches done that day.

One search was done when I was accused of threatening his life, clothing body search was done by Officer Abanico; and I was taken to what they call the cage, and I was strip-searched and searched again.

14 BY MR. LEWIS:

- Q. And you think that -- and it's your testimony that

 Officer Abanico conducted that clothed body search; correct?
- **A.** Yes, sir.
- **Q.** I'd now like to call your attention to page 15 of the same 19 transcript, specifically line 11. It says: (reading)
 - ■Q. Did Abanico conduct either of those searches you mentioned that you were searched up against the wall? Did Abanico conduct either one of those searches?
 - "A. Abanico was present. And I'm not -- I don't -- he didn't do the searching. He was present while another officer in the corridor did the initial search, the

clothing body search." 1 Did I --2 Α. Is that your testimony? 3 Again, sir, that, "I'm not -- I don't," was I didn't 4 5 remember exactly who -- if he was present or he personally did 6 the search. So -- but, yes, he was there and he could have 7 done the search. That's why I said, "I'm not," because I wasn't sure or not if he was the one that conducted the search; 8 but I know two body searches was conducted on me that day. 9 But you say here: (reading) 10 Q. 11 "He was present while another officer in the corridor did the initial search, the clothed body search." 12 So he didn't actually conduct the search on you on 13 November 13th -- I'm sorry, on September 13th, 2007, did he? 14 15 Again, sir, I can't be for sure if it was him or another 16 officer that conducted the search. It was really chaotic that 17 night because I was accused of threatening his life. 18 really can't remember. No further questions, Your Honor. 19 MR. LEWIS: 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 21 Brief redirect? 22 Thank you, Judge. MR. CUNNINGHAM: 23 THE COURT: Remember, we're just talking now in general terms. You're going to have another opportunity as you 24

25

indicated.

And for the most part, I 1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. Yes. will leave that, but I need to ask. 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: 4 5 You are as certain today that the date of that -- of the -- when you went to the hole, whether he searched you or 6 7 not or was just present was in '07, not '08; right? I'm positive. 8 Α. 9 How do you know that? I have the paperwork where -- the 114 Lockup Order that 10 11 shows that I was locked up in the hole September 13th, 2007. Okay. And was it '08 when you got out of the hole? 12 Q. 13 Α. Yes, sir. How long were you in the hole? 14 Q. For eight months. 15 Α. 16 Then what happened? I was transferred to another prison. 17 Α. Was there ever a hearing held on the charge? 18 Q. This is going beyond --19 THE COURT: All right. We'll leave that then. 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: 21 Thank you. 22 **THE COURT:** -- the scope of cross-examination. 23 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.

24

25

THE COURT:

relevant when you recall him on direct.

That's with leave to go into that if it's

- 1 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you.
- 2 | Q. All right. Counsel said, well, it turns out there's all
- 3 | these different ways of searching; with the hand, without the
- 4 | hand, with one hand, with two hands. What are the ways that
- 5 | you've been searched?
- 6 A. The three ways that I mentioned. I said it was just two
- 7 different ways, but either with the hand to the back or the
- 8 elbow to the back.
- 9 Q. Let me put it this way: Leave out the -- the third way
- 10 being the way Abanico searched you; right?
- 11 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Leave that out of it. Just talk about how everybody else
- 13 searched you.
- 14 A. Then I would say that would be a whole different way. It
- 15 | would be one other way.
- 16 **Q.** What would that be?
- 17 **A.** And that would be starting from the top, working to the
- 18 bottom.
- 19 Q. But what about the two-hands/one-hand thing?
- 20 **A.** Sometimes officers use one hand, and sometimes they use
- 21 | two hands, but I wouldn't say it was two different methods. If
- 22 | we talk about methods, that would be like saying one officer
- 23 | started at the legs and worked his way up or he started at the
- 24 | waist and worked his way up.
- 25 When I say one way, I mean some officers used elbow in the

- 1 back, some officers used their hand in the back, and that's the
- 2 only way that I knew.
- 3 | Q. And then is the search always from the top down?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 | Q. And it goes down a leg and up a leg if it's one hand?
- 6 A. Yes, sir. Well, what they would do, they would work their
- 7 | way down one side of the body, then they'd work their way down
- 8 | the other side of the body.
- 9 Q. Okay. So if he searches you with only one hand and the
- 10 other hand or arm is in the back --
- 11 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. -- he always goes from the top down both the inside and
- 13 | the outside of the leg?
- 14 A. Yes, he does.
- 15 **Q.** Okay.
- 16 A. He works his way down with one hand, again on one side of
- 17 | the body. Then work his way down on the other side of the
- 18 body.
- 19 Q. Okay. So in your experience he doesn't work his way up
- 20 | the leg into the groin?
- 21 **A.** No.
- 22 **Q.** When he starts at the top of the leg, does he -- top of
- 23 the inside of the leg, does it come in contact with your groin?
- 24 **A.** When you're talking about "he," who are we talking about?
- 25 | Q. Any officer. A regular officer. A normal officer.

- 1 A. Briefly sweeping and moving on. No lingering in that
- 2 particular area.
- 3 Q. But do you normally get some physical contact that you
- 4 | could feel that would represent detection of anything that
- 5 | might be sewn into the underpants, for example?
- 6 A. No. I never had an officer squeeze my penis or my
- 7 scrotum.
- 8 Q. Okay. But what about an officer whose hand would come
- 9 | right up to the top of your leg?
- 10 **A.** It would be -- it would be a brief sweep to see if there
- 11 was any contraband and move on.
- 12 | Q. And that's what I'm talking about. What you're calling a
- 13 brief sweep, when it was done in a normal fashion, would -- in
- 14 | your mind, was it sufficient to detect whether there was
- 15 | anything in your underpants?
- 16 MR. LEWIS: Objection, Your Honor. It calls for
- 17 | speculation, Your Honor. He's not conducting the search on
- 18 | himself. He's not a correction officer, and he's not licensed
- 19 or POST certified to give this testimony.
- 20 **THE COURT:** Well, he can testify as to what happened
- 21 to him and what he felt.
- 22 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 23 Q. Sir, did you ever -- well, never mind.
- I'm asking that question. In your mind when -- the sweep
- 25 of the crotch area, okay, including both the genitals and the

- 1 | groin, the space between the legs behind the genitals --
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. -- would they normally put their hand through there in
- 4 | such a way as, in your mind, if there was something hidden in
- 5 | there, they would get it, they would detect it?
- 6 **A.** Let me try and explain where everybody can understand.
- 7 I've never had an officer search me that way and use his
- 8 | fingers, right, in that area. I've had an officer who swept
- 9 that area using his palms --
- 10 **Q.** Okay.
- 11 A. -- you know, to see if any contraband was there. I've
- 12 | never had an officer that lingered in that area, you know,
- 13 | caressing my private parts with his fingers.
- 14 Q. Okay. Have you ever had an officer grab your private
- 15 parts and squeeze it?
- 16 A. No, sir, other than Officer Abanico.
- 17 **Q.** Either the penis or the scrotum, testicles?
- 18 A. No, sir, other than Abanico.
- 19 Q. All right.
- 20 **THE COURT:** You know, Counsel, maybe I misunderstood
- 21 | what's going on. My understanding was that you just wanted to
- 22 | talk to this witness at this juncture about the general conduct
- 23 | of guards and basically daily prison life, and then you were
- 24 | going to call him back with the other ones. It seems like
- 25 | you're sort of continuing about the questions, personal

```
questions.
 1
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: I meant that as the general, Judge,
 2
     that what is the technique that he's used to from other
 3
     officers; and, you know, it does trench on the other subject
 4
 5
     matter.
             I'm not going to go any further with it at this point.
              THE COURT: All right. Any further questions?
 6
 7
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Wait just a moment, Judge.
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
 8
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: No further questions. Thank you,
 9
    Mr. Trask.
10
              THE COURT: All right. With the understanding that
11
    you get to cross-examine later on.
12
              MR. LEWIS: Yes, Your Honor. We'll reserve our right
13
     to cross-examine at a later date.
14
15
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
              MR. LEWIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17
                   (Witness excused subject to recall.)
              THE COURT: Your next witness, Counsel?
18
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Our next witness is Ivan Cleveland,
19
20
     Judge.
21
              THE COURT: All right.
              THE CLERK: Mr. Cleveland, if you'll please come take
22
     the witness stand. Will you stand and raise your right hand?
23
              THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
24
25
```

1 IVAN VERNORD CLEVELAND, called as a witness for the Plaintiffs, having been duly sworn, 2 testified as follows: 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 4 5 THE CLERK: Please be seated. THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Cleveland. 6 7 THE WITNESS: How you doing, sir? THE CLERK: Please state your full name for the Court 8 9 and spell your last name. THE WITNESS: Ivan Vernord Cleveland, 10 C-L-E-V-E-L-A-N-D. 11 12 THE CLERK: Thank you. 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CUNNINGHAM: 14 15 Mr. Cleveland, are you a prisoner in the CDCR? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 And where are you housed these days? Q. 18 B Wing 127, CTF Central. Α. And CTF Central, that's Soledad; right? 19 20 That's correct. Α. 21 And what is Central the CTF as opposed to anything --22 California Training Facility. Α. 23 Wait for my question. Okay? 24 Okay. Α. 25 What's the Central part? What does that refer to?

- 1 A. I don't have any idea about that.
- 2 Q. Are there other parts, like --
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. -- South, West, East?
- 5 **A.** (Nods head.)
- 6 Q. But this is Central, this is one self-contained unit; is
- 7 | that fair?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. As Mr. Trask described it with that long corridor and the
- 10 different wings and the other places coming off the corridor?
- 11 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 12 **Q.** That's where you were living in 206 -- 2006 and 2007?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And, all right, are you living in a different wing now
- 15 | than you were then?
- 16 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 17 **Q.** What wing were you living in then?
- 18 **A.** B Wing 127.
- 19 Q. Okay. And at that time were you familiar with the
- 20 defendant, Officer Abanico?
- 21 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 22 **Q.** And was he assigned to the wing you were living in?
- 23 A. No. Not to my knowledge he wasn't.
- 24 **Q.** Okay.
- 25 A. He wasn't assigned to F Wing.

- 1 Q. You weren't assigned there?
- 2 A. I was in F Wing at the time, 2006.
- 3 Q. Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you said --
- 4 A. I'm in B Wing now.
- 5 Q. B Wing now, okay.
- And he was not an officer, a wing officer, at that time?
- 7 **A.** No.
- 8 Q. Okay. Where did you first encounter Officer Abanico?
- 9 **A.** Personally with my own experience?
- 10 **Q.** Yes.
- 11 **A.** 2006.
- 12 **Q.** Okay.
- 13 **A.** In the hallway.
- 14 **Q.** Okay.
- 15 A. Corridor.
- 16 **Q.** In the corridor?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And what were the circumstances? What happened?
- 19 **THE COURT:** Let me just be clear. I'm sorry.
- 20 Mr. Cleveland, when you say "the corridor," you're
- 21 referring to that long corridor that Mr. Trask testified he
- 22 | said was about a quarter mile long and that the different wings
- 23 | spilled out into that corridor; is that correct?
- 24 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, sir.
- 25 **THE COURT:** All right. Thank you.

1 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:

- 2 Q. Okay. And you encountered him where? He wasn't working
- 3 in the unit you were living?
- 4 **A.** No, sir.
- 5 **Q.** So you encountered him in the corridor?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 **Q.** And how did that come about?
- 8 A. On my way to chow I was pulled over, stopped by
- 9 Officer Abanico, and told to get against the wall.
- 10 Q. Uh-huh. And had you heard anything about him before that
- 11 occasion?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Okay. Well, what kind of stuff had you heard?
- 14 A. I had heard that --
- 15 MR. QUINN: Objection. Hearsay.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- 17 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 18 Q. Had you heard other prisoners talk about him as somebody
- 19 | who did unusual searches or wrongful searches?
- 20 MR. QUINN: Objection. Hearsay.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained, Counsel.
- 22 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
- 23 **THE COURT:** You have to have a basis for the hearsay
- 24 testimony.
- 25 MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. I mean, I'm asking for

- 1 | the witness' state of mind, Judge, as opposed to whether the
- 2 things he was told were true.
- THE COURT: There's a way to phrase that, Counsel.
- 4 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Very well.
- 5 Q. When you -- strike it.
- 6 You had heard about him, correct, when he pulled you over
- 7 | the first time?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And had you had any other interaction with him in any
- 10 other circumstances before that day?
- 11 **A.** No, sir.
- 12 **Q.** And when he pulled you over on that day, what happened?
- 13 A. I was told to put my hands up against the wall. I
- 14 | complied. I was told to bring my legs back. I complied. Come
- 15 back further. I complied.
- 16 | Q. What was, I'm sorry, the last thing?
- 17 **A.** Put my legs back further.
- 18 Q. Further.
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** Uh-huh.
- 21 **A.** Where my body position would be where my buttocks was
- 22 sticking out further.
- 23 **Q.** Okay.
- 24 A. I complied.
- Officer Abanico went into pulling my waistband to my pants

- 1 back to my buttocks, looked inside to see my buttocks, snapped
- 2 | them back. Put his elbow on my back. Went to the inner
- 3 thighs, both inner thighs, grabbed the penis, squeezed; and
- 4 grabbed the genitalia, the testicles, and squeezed.
- 5 At that time I came off of the wall, and I squared off on
- 6 | him really. And I said, "Man, you're not supposed to be
- 7 | touching me like that."
- 8 He says, "Sir, sir, get back against the wall."
- 9 I said, "Listen, man, you're not supposed to be touching
- 10 me like that." I said, "That's an inappropriate way of
- 11 | touching me." I said, "I'm not letting you touch me like
- 12 that."
- 13 Q. Okay. Was there another officer present when this was
- 14 | happening after you came off the wall?
- 15 **A.** At that -- I'm not for sure. If it was, he wasn't within
- 16 the area right there. He was about 5 or 6 feet away facing the
- 17 | inmates walking back and forth, but I'm not for sure how close
- 18 he was; but there's usually always officers present but, you
- 19 | know, at that time, you know, but I'm not for sure the distance
- 20 of the officer.
- 21 | Q. Okay. All right. And then you said, "You're not supposed
- 22 to be touching me that way."
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 **Q.** What did he say?
- 25 | A. He said, "Sir, get back against the wall. Sir, get back

- 1 | against the wall." He always says "sir."
- 2 **Q.** And then what happened?
- 3 A. I got back against the wall after asking him not --
- 4 | telling him -- not asking, telling him he shouldn't be touching
- 5 | me that way. He put his elbow back on my back, proceeded with
- 6 the search, squeezed again, and then let me go.
- 7 | Q. And did you say anything more to him then?
- 8 A. At that moment I can't remember if I did or not. It was
- 9 2006 and, you know, I know I went to a sergeant.
- 10 Q. Right then?
- 11 A. Yes. I left him and went directly to a sergeant.
- 12 **Q.** Okay. Who was that sergeant?
- 13 A. If I'm not mistaken, it was Sergeant Randall.
- 14 Q. And what did you tell Sergeant Randall?
- 15 **A.** I complained about what had just happened to me.
- 16 MR. QUINN: Objection. Hearsay.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Overruled. It's what he testified to.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I complained about what had just
- 19 | happened to me to Sergeant Randall; and I told him to the
- 20 extent of it, and told him that I had been touched in this
- 21 manner, that I'd been groped and fondled by the CO.
- 22 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 23 **Q.** And did he respond?
- 24 A. Yes, he did, sir.
- 25 **Q.** What did he tell you?

Objection. 1 MR. QUINN: Hearsay. MR. CUNNINGHAM: Again, it's this witness' state of 2 mind what he was told, not whether the officer -- you know, 3 4 we're not trying to prove anything true or false from somebody. 5 THE COURT: There's a way of asking these questions, Counsel. As posed, the objection is sustained. 6 Move on. 7 MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. Did Sergeant Randall tell you he would do anything about Q. 8 it? 9 MR. QUINN: Objection. 10 Hearsay. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm not following you, Judge. 12 All 13 right. THE COURT: You're eliciting from this witness the 14 15 statements of another person. That is hearsay, Counsel. 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, Judge, I'm not trying to prove 17 those statements are true. 18 THE COURT: Proceed. MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. 19 20 After your meeting with Sergeant Randall, did you do 21 anything else to follow up on this complaint -- on your complaint about Abanico's search? 22 23 Yes, sir. Α. What did you do? 24

First I put together a grievance, a class action

25

Α.

```
grievance, because, like I had said before, I had already been
 1
     told about the incidents with other inmates. So I put together
 2
     a grievance on my own. I went out to the Administrative Yard,
 3
     and I asked anyone that had ever been searched inappropriately
 4
 5
     or fondled by this officer to sign this grievance; and I
     stipulated that, "If you have not, do not sign this grievance."
 6
 7
         All right. And showing you -- if I could approach,
     Q.
     Judge -- what's been previously marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit
 8
    Number 1?
 9
              THE COURT: Mr. Quinn, do you have a copy of the
10
     exhibits?
11
              MR. QUINN:
                         I believe so.
12
13
              THE COURT: All right. We're marking at this time,
     previously marked already is Plaintiffs' 1.
14
15
           (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 marked for identification)
16
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
17
              MR. QUINN: Your Honor, before we go down the road of
18
     questioning, we request a sidebar, just a brief sidebar, to
19
     address Exhibit 1.
20
              THE COURT: All right.
              (Sidebar conference heard but not reported.)
21
              THE COURT: Madam Reporter, we'll put that sidebar on
22
     the record at the end of today's testimony.
23
     BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
24
25
          So the document there, does it have a number on it, a
     Q.
```

- 1 | serial number or some stamped number toward the top there?
- 2 **A.** Case number for this or a log number?
- 3 Q. Case. I think it's case.
- 4 A. You have a case number. You have a log number. The case
- 5 number is for the case and the log number is for the appeal.
- 6 Here's the appeal number right here (indicating).
- 7 **Q.** This is right here (indicating).
- 8 A. That's the log number.
- 9 **Q.** Okay. The log number?
- 10 A. Yes, it does, sir.
- 11 **Q.** And you were -- you assembled the whole document; correct?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 **Q.** You wrote the body of the first several pages before the
- 14 | signatures; is that it?
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And you had the interaction with the other prisoners that
- 17 | you described in the preparation of the document; right?
- 18 **A.** 127 of them.
- 19 Q. Okay. And then what did you do with --
- 20 MR. QUINN: Objection, Your Honor. That's the subject
- 21 of the sidebar.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, limit your inquiry
- 23 | just as to what he did, not the parties that he interviewed.
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: Right.
- 25 **Q.** What did you do with the document once it was completed?

- 1 A. I submitted it to the appeals coordinator.
- 2 **Q.** Okay. And....
- 3 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 4 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 5 | Q. What's the first response that you got from -- strike it.
- 6 I'm sorry.
- 7 Who did you submit it to, if you recall?
- 8 A. I submitted it to the coordinator; but if I'm not
- 9 mistaken, it ended up in Sergeant Randall's hands somehow, one
- 10 of the sergeant's hands.
- 11 Q. I'm sorry. You said you submitted --
- 12 A. I submitted it. By the appeals process we submit it to
- 13 | the appeals coordinator.
- 14 Q. I see. Okay.
- 15 **A.** And they give it to -- designate it to either a sergeant
- 16 or a lieutenant to handle the process.
- 17 | Q. All right. And then did you get interviewed by
- 18 | Sergeant Randall about it?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 20 **Q.** Okay. And did he prepare any written report about it to
- 21 your knowledge?
- 22 **A.** At this time, no, not to my knowledge.
- 23 Q. Let me give you this exhibit as well. It's marked 6A1 for
- 24 | identification, Plaintiffs' 6A1.
- 25 **A.** (Witness examines document.)

- 1 Q. After you spoke to him about it, spoke to Randall about
- 2 it, what happened next with respect to this grievance?
- 3 **A.** It was partially granted.
- 4 Q. And who partially granted it, if you know?
- 5 A. All I can see here is the signature of a staff which I
- 6 can't understand. There's another sergeant and I think it says
- 7 Keane or something.
- 8 Q. And are you getting that from the pages that are in the
- 9 exhibit I just showed you?
- 10 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Okay. And after the first -- the first set of pages in
- 12 | the exhibit is the same petition that's Exhibit 1; is that
- 13 | correct?
- 14 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And after that, is that, that next page, is that a page
- 16 | that was given back -- I'm sorry. Not that page. Strike it.
- Did you do anything else before you heard back from
- 18 | administration about the appeal in order to pursue the
- 19 grievance against Officer Abanico?
- 20 A. I don't understand.
- 21 **Q.** Did you complain to somebody besides the 602?
- 22 **A.** Oh, yes, without a doubt.
- 23 **Q.** What did you do?
- 24 A. I complained to Captain Guerrera. I complained to a
- 25 | couple other COs.

- 1 | Q. And did you write the Internal Affairs?
- 2 A. I wrote to Internal Affairs twice on this matter.
- 3 Q. Uh-huh. And what happened with your letter to
- 4 Internal Affairs?
- 5 A. I got a response back from Special Agent Brad Williams
- 6 stating about the staff misconduct, and informed that I
- 7 quess --
- 8 MR. QUINN: Objection. Hearsay.
- 9 **THE WITNESS:** Well, I have it right here.
- 10 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 11 Q. Did he take action on the complaint or did he return the
- 12 | complaint to the institution?
- 13 A. He returned the complaint to the institution.
- 14 Q. Okay. Were you ever contacted -- strike.
- 15 You said you wrote to them twice?
- 16 A. Yes. I wrote to Internal Affairs twice and got two
- 17 different special agents from the Internal Affairs.
- 18 Q. Uh-huh. And did they both return the complaint to the
- 19 institution?
- 20 **A.** Yes. With giving me a personal letter saying that they
- 21 were my agents.
- 22 **Q.** That they?
- 23 A. Were my special agents investigating that was supposed to
- 24 take over the situation.
- 25 **Q.** But they -- instead of making an investigation, they

- 1 | returned the complaint to the institution; is that what you're
- 2 saying?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Okay. Did you hear within the institution any response to
- 5 | the letters to Internal Affairs?
- 6 **A.** No, sir.
- 7 Q. All right. When -- strike it.
- 8 Did you do anything else?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. What else did you do about this?
- 11 **A.** I wrote a letter to the Inspector General. I wrote a
- 12 letter to the Warden. I wrote a letter to the Associate
- 13 Warden. I was so upset about the situation, any number --
- 14 there was even a number that came on the television about a
- 15 | cooking commercial that had a telephone number on it, and I
- 16 | sent them a copy just to get anybody to listen, you know. I
- 17 | sent -- Jay Leno had his address on TV, I sent him letters. I
- 18 | sent out over -- anywhere over between 15 and 20 letters to
- 19 different people that I just wanted to listen, different
- 20 | lawyers, everybody, anybody that would hear what I was saying.
- 21 **Q.** And did you send something to the court in Monterey
- 22 County?
- 23 A. Yes, I did, sir.
- 24 | Q. And what was that?
- 25 **A.** That was a Complaint stating that something had to be done

- 1 about the abuse to the Monterey County Court Superior.
- 2 | Q. And what all did you -- what all did you include in the
- 3 papers you sent to the court?
- 4 A. I included signatures from 127 inmates.
 - MR. QUINN: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay.
- 6 THE COURT: Well, the signatures --
- 7 MR. QUINN: And we've been over this previously.
- 8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't think this is what we were
- 9 talking about at all. I mean, the actions --
- 10 **THE COURT:** All right. Just a minute. I think I've
- 11 | already ruled on this; and to the extent that it goes into the
- 12 | signatures, the hearsay objection will be sustained.
- 13 MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. But --
- 14 **THE COURT:** The hearsay objection is sustained.
- 15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I understand.
- 16 | Q. My question is, then: Did you send a copy of the petition
- 17 | that you had prepared that is Case Number 3011 -- 06-3011 to
- 18 the court?

5

- 19 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 20 **Q.** And did you send -- showing you what's been marked
- 21 | Plaintiffs' 2, do you recognize those documents?
- 22 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. And were those also sent to the court?
- 24 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. And had you prepared those documents in any way?

- 1 A. What do you mean?
- 2 Q. Did you have some part in the preparation of those
- 3 documents in Exhibit 2?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 | Q. And what did you do there? What did you do?
- 6 A. What I did was went to the library, typed -- wrote in a
- 7 paper-made personal affidavit.
- 8 Q. And, so, then you -- did you leave part of it blank?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Okay. And was the blank part then filled in by others?
- 11 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And that document was also sent to the court --
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. -- those documents?
- 15 And did you receive a ruling from the court?
- 16 **A.** On the first -- the first one I received was a show cause
- 17 | for informal level, informal response to the institution.
- 18 **Q.** A paper came back from the court?
- 19 A. Stating show cause on the Complaint, and that the
- 20 | institution had to respond to it on an informal level.
- 21 **Q.** And did they do that to your knowledge?
- 22 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. And did you get a copy of their informal response?
- 24 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 25 **Q.** And did the informal response acknowledge the grievance?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. And did they explain -- what explanation did they give to
- 3 the court by way of informal response?
- 4 A. The institution?
- 5 **Q.** Yes.
- 6 A. They stated that they did their own investigation and that
- 7 | they didn't see that anything -- any rights were violated.
- 8 Q. Did they -- was that in the form of a letter to the court?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Okay. And did it come from the institution or some other
- 11 place?
- 12 A. It came from the institution, if I'm not mistaken. I'm
- 13 | not for sure.
- 14 Q. Okay. And, so, then did the court take any action?
- 15 A. After they received that, the court denied my claim.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 THE COURT: Let me ask counsel. This Monterey County
- 18 action, the State action, this was not removed to
- 19 | Federal Court; was it? This isn't the basis for this action?
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: No.
- 21 **THE COURT:** All right.
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: No.
- 23 **Q.** Did you get any further response within the institution or
- 24 | through channels in the Department about the grievance?
- 25 A. I don't understand the question.

- 1 | Q. What response, if any, did you get -- I'm sorry. Let me
- 2 go back.
- Nothing happened out of the conversation with
- 4 | Sergeant Randall; correct?
- 5 **A.** No, sir.
- 6 | Q. And then you -- did you write anything further in the
- 7 | grievance as a result of that?
- 8 A. Yes, sir. Going to the second level.
- 9 Q. Okay. And, so, then did -- to your knowledge did the case
- 10 go to the second level?
- 11 A. It did go to the second level.
- 12 Q. And what response did you receive in the second level?
- 13 A. Partially granted. Same answer I got on the first level.
- 14 Q. And what partial granting was there? Do you see a letter
- 15 there?
- 16 **A.** On the partially granted I received it received that the
- 17 | inmate can't know the outcome of what goes on with the CO.
- 18 They can't tell you what kind of reprimand they give to the CO.
- 19 Q. After the second level, were you -- did you have to go to
- 20 a higher level --
- 21 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. -- with the case?
- 23 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Okay. And what level is that?
- 25 **A.** After the second level, you go to the direct appeal, which

- 1 | is the third level in Sacramento.
- 2 Q. And did you do that?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And you sent them the same grievance?
- 5 A. Exact same thing.
- 6 Q. And what response did you get to that appeal?
- 7 A. From the Sacramento appeal I got a deny. They didn't
- 8 partially grant it. They didn't -- they just denied it.
- 9 Q. Uh-huh. And did they explain it at that time?
- 10 **A.** They gave an explanation but I don't have my paperwork
- 11 | with me, so I can't, you know, state what they informed me of,
- 12 advised me of.
- 13 Q. Let me see if I can't provide it for you.
- 14 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 15 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I don't have it in this file.
- 16 Q. Did you receive a letter from -- I'm sorry -- the Chief
- 17 Deputy Warden, Mr. Cohen?
- 18 A. Which one is it?
- 19 Q. Chief Deputy Warden, Mr. Cohen, that's the -- that will be
- 20 the last couple pages in the Exhibit 6A1.
- 21 A. (Witness examines document.) "Response to the
- 22 | Correspondence Addressed to Internal Affairs, "no, I don't see
- 23 what you're talking about.
- 24 Q. Let me see.
- 25 (Pause in proceedings.)

```
1
              THE WITNESS:
                            Okay.
                                   That's what I just said,
     Internal Affairs.
 2
     BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
 3
          So --
 4
     Q.
 5
                         What are we referring to, Counsel?
              THE COURT:
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: This is Exhibit 6A1, Judge, the last
 6
 7
     two pages, a letter --
              THE COURT:
                          There seem to be Bates stamp numbers on
 8
     the bottom of these documents. If you could refer the Court to
 9
10
     which Bates stamp number you're referring to.
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: 434 and 430 -- AGO434 and 435.
11
              THE COURT: They're not in order.
12
13
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
              THE COURT: You say they're the last --
14
15
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                              It should be the last or three of the
16
     last two pages in 6A1.
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
17
              THE COURT: The last pages I show are Bates
18
19
     stamped 392, 393, 395, and 396.
20
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Are you in 6A1, Judge?
21
              THE COURT: There only seems to be....
22
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
23
              THE COURT:
                         I see the last pages seem to be 376, 375.
     All right. This is -- hold on just a second.
24
25
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
```

- 1 THE COURT: All right. I have it now. Thank you.
- 2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: That was 6A2, I think, the other one.
- 3 Thank you, Judge.
- 4 **THE COURT:** 434 and 435?
- 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, sir.
- 6 THE COURT: Counsel, do you have copies of that?
- 7 MR. QUINN: I actually don't.
- 8 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 9 **BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:**
- 10 **Q.** What was the title of that letter there?
- 11 A. "Response to Your Correspondence Addressed to
- 12 Internal Affairs, Subject: Inmate Cleveland Memorandum."
- 13 | Q. All right. And did the Warden -- did the Chief Deputy
- 14 | Warden then give you any indication that something would be
- 15 | done about this petition that you filed?
- 16 **A.** From reading this, no.
- 17 **Q.** Do you recall receiving that letter?
- 18 A. You know, I don't remember receiving this letter.
- 19 Q. Uh-huh. And does it explain why there is no response as
- 20 | you're reading it now?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And then was there anything more for you to do with this
- 23 | grievance after you received the director's denial and this
- 24 | letter here?
- 25 **A.** Once it goes through the director level, the third appeal,

- 1 | as far as the institution is concerned, that's it. You've done
- 2 | everything. You've advised everybody of the situation that
- 3 needs to be advised.
- 4 Q. All right. Did you then take another step, a different
- 5 step?
- 6 A. Well, yes. After I did the third-level appeal and there
- 7 | was nothing done, I did a civil case.
- 8 Q. And did that turn into this case?
- 9 **A.** That turned into this case right here.
- 10 Q. Did you have at a later time another occasion to --
- 11 | another encounter with Officer Abanico?
- 12 **A.** After 2006?
- 13 **Q.** Yeah.
- 14 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 15 **Q.** And when was that?
- 16 **A.** 2007.
- 17 **Q.** Okay. And where did that occur?
- 18 **A.** In the corridor.
- 19 **Q.** Same corridor?
- 20 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 21 **Q.** Were you still living in the same housing unit?
- 22 A. Yes, sir. I was in F Wing.
- 23 Q. And was he working in F Wing then?
- 24 A. I don't recall Abanico ever working F Wing while I was
- 25 housed in F Wing.

- 1 Q. All right. When you were living in F Wing and coming and
- 2 going from F Wing, did F Wing officers sometimes give you
- 3 clothed body search, a random clothed body search, when you
- 4 | were going out into the corridor?
- 5 A. Not me, no.
- 6 Q. No? Did other officers sometimes at other points in the
- 7 | corridor search you --
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 **Q.** -- now and then?
- 10 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Did any of them ever search you the way Abanico had
- 12 | searched you that first time?
- 13 **A.** No, sir.
- 14 Q. All right. And you've been down -- in 2006, how long had
- 15 you been in prison?
- 16 **A.** Incarcerated in prison since 2000. I was arrested in 1998
- 17 | and I fought my case for two years in L.A. County Jail.
- 18 **Q.** Uh-huh.
- 19 A. This is my second term, so I did '92 to '96.
- 20 Q. Okay. Did you get -- in '92 to '96 did you get searched
- 21 by random clothed body searches?
- 22 **A.** Yes, sir, all the time.
- 23 **Q.** And did you get searched in L.A. County Jail?
- 24 A. All the time, sir. As a matter of fact, the L.A. County
- 25 | Jail searches you maybe two to three times more than you would

- 1 be searched in prison.
- 2 Q. And you have been -- so you had been in CDCR for five or
- 3 six years then; right?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 **Q.** And had that all been at Soledad?
- 6 A. Yes -- no. Pleasant Valley is where I started. I got
- 7 | transferred from there to Folsom, from Folsom to Quentin, from
- 8 Quentin to Soledad.
- 9 Q. So how long had you been at Soledad in October of '06?
- 10 A. I got there in 2005. I think March of 2005.
- 11 Q. Okay. And, now, when did this next encounter occur with
- 12 him?
- 13 **A.** On 6/20th.
- 14 **THE COURT:** I'm sorry, Counsel. When you say "with
- 15 him" --
- 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: With Abanico. I'm sorry, Judge.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Thank you.
- 18 **THE WITNESS:** June 20th.
- 19 **BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:**
- 20 **Q.** Of '07?
- 21 **A.** Of '07. I'm sorry.
- 22 Q. And in between those two times, did you sometimes see him
- 23 in the corridor?
- 24 **A.** Oh, yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. Did he pull you over?

- 1 A. Before June 20th?
- 2 **Q.** Yeah.
- 3 A. The only time he pulled me over before June 20th was 2006
- 4 when he did the --
- 5 **Q.** On the occasion we've been talking about?
- 6 A. Exactly.
- 7 **Q.** Okay.
- 8 A. But not until June -- 6/20 was I searched again by him,
- 9 2007.
- 10 Q. Okay. And now we're looking at what's been marked as
- 11 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6A2. Do you have that in front of you?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 **Q.** That's a grievance that you prepared; is that right?
- 14 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. All right. And it's the same form of grievance and the
- 16 same process?
- 17 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And what did you -- what was it that had happened between
- 19 | you and Abanico that you put into this grievance?
- 20 **A.** That I had got stopped by Abanico and I had a court order,
- 21 of show cause from the Monterey County court. Abanico read my
- 22 | legal mail, which was a violation because they're not supposed
- 23 to read our legal mail.
- 24 Q. I'm sorry. He did what with it?
- 25 A. He read my legal mail that was in my pocket, and it had

- his name in it, Cleveland versus Abanico. I was searched again by him on that day.
- Okay. And then on the next day, on the 21st, I was
 searched by him twice coming up from chow -- going to chow and
 coming back. And then on the 22nd again.
- Q. In those searches did he also grab and squeeze your qenitals?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 **Q.** And what did you do in response?
- 10 A. On this -- on these incidents where I was grabbed, I just
- 11 | shook my head and grit my teeth because in this incident, if
- 12 | you keep complaining about this incident, you're going to the
- 13 | hole. So I filed an initial grievance on the fondling. On
- 14 | this one I filed a harassment because now I feel I was being
- 15 | harassed. Really I put that I was being clowned, so....
- 16 Q. And reading this I don't see any mention of grabbing the
- 17 | qenitals.
- 18 A. I just used the violations Eighth Amendment, and I stated
- 19 | that this officer in my opinion needed help, mental help.
- 20 **Q.** And you wrote a couple of extra pages describing your
- 21 | feelings about what had happened, what should be done?
- 22 **A.** Yes. I stated that I feel it was retaliation.
- 23 | Q. All right. And we don't have any further documents
- 24 | besides the grievance. Do you recall how -- what the response
- 25 | from the institution was on this grievance in '07?

- 1 A. Partially granted.
- 2 Q. I mean, did you have an informal interview like you did
- 3 with Sergeant Randall in the other one?
- 4 A. You know, Sergeant Randall is on this one, but he just
- 5 | signed it off. I don't remember talking to him. He signed it
- 6 off. Sergeant Randall is on this one in 2007.
- 7 | Q. I see. Okay. It has his name at the top of the second
- 8 page there?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 **Q.** But he didn't write anything in there; right?
- 11 **A.** No. He just partially granted it.
- 12 Q. Partially granted in that he talked to you?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. And then did you go beyond that stage --
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 **Q.** -- with the grievance?
- 17 **A.** Yes, sir. I went to what is called Division F.
- 18 Q. Did Randall -- when you spoke to him, did he make excuses
- 19 for Abanico?
- 20 **A.** He just --
- 21 MR. QUINN: Objection. Hearsay.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- 23 **BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:**
- 24 | Q. Did he give you some explanation of what, if anything,
- 25 | could be done or that nothing could be done? Or strike it.

- When you were done with Sergeant Randall, did you have any sense that anything was going to be done about Abanico?
 - A. No. No, I did not.

3

4

5

6

- Q. Did you have any sense that Abanico had even been talked to by anybody, any supervisor or anything about the way he searched you?
- 7 MR. QUINN: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 8 **THE COURT:** Overruled to the extent that he can 9 testify to his own personal knowledge.
- THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please?

 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 12 Q. Did you have -- strike it. Now, I lost the question.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Did you have any sense that Abanico had

 14 ever been talked to by anybody, any supervisor or anything

 15 about the way he searched you?
- 16 **THE WITNESS:** Yes. I think a supervisor spoke to him about it.
- 18 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- Q. And was there any change in the technique that he used on you the second time or that clutch of times, those couple of
- 21 days in a row, from the first time?
- 22 A. It wasn't as hard. It wasn't as aggressive as the first.
- 23 You know, the spots was the same, but it wasn't -- it wasn't
- 24 | the same fondling motion. It wasn't the same as the first
- 25 | search, and I'm not going sit up here and make up something

- 1 onto Abanico.
- 2 | Q. Okay. But it was a repetition of what happened the first
- 3 time?
- 4 **A.** Oh, yes, sir, without a doubt.
- 5 Q. What did you do the first time when he grabbed your
- 6 private parts?
- 7 THE COURT: When you say "the first time" --
- 8 MR. CUNNINGHAM: In the earlier event that we talked
- 9 about --
- 10 **THE WITNESS:** 2006.
- 11 MR. CUNNINGHAM: -- for the first grievance that
- 12 turned into a petition.
- 13 | MR. QUINN: I just object on the grounds that it's
- 14 been asked and answered.
- 15 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: I squared off on him, and I would like
- 17 | to explain what squared off means to the jury.
- 18 Turned around with my fist balled up face to face and
- 19 | said, "You're not supposed to be touching me like that."
- 20 | That's what "squared off" means, when an inmate says he
- 21 | "squared off."
- 22 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 23 **Q.** And to your knowledge is just that gesture, turn around
- 24 and square off with your fists --
- 25 **A.** Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. -- is that a violation in and of itself?
- 2 **A.** Was I supposed to do that?
- 3 **Q.** Yeah.
- 4 **A.** No.
- 5 Q. What did you -- what were you feeling at that moment when
- 6 | you turned around? What was going through your mind?
- 7 **A.** Embarrassment, shame, embarrassment, my pride knowing that
- 8 I couldn't really do nothing about it even though I wanted to.
- 9 **Q.** Were you angry?
- 10 **A.** Very.
- 11 Q. Did you have to control your anger?
- 12 A. It wasn't easy but, yes, I did.
- 13 | Q. Did you -- were you in your own mind when you turned
- 14 | around or when you spoke to him risking trouble with the
- 15 institution?
- 16 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 17 **Q.** What could have happened to you in your mind?
- 18 | A. I could have been -- I could have received SHU suit
- 19 | program for that. I could have been --
- 20 **Q.** What's a SHU program?
- 21 A. I could have been put in --
- 22 MR. QUINN: Objection. It calls for speculation.
- 23 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 24 THE WITNESS: That's what happens. If you take a
- 25 | defensive posture to a CO, you can be put in Ad.Seq. and then

- 1 sent to the SHU. That's the rules. That's what happens. It's
- 2 | not speculation. That's the rules and that's what happens.
- 3 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 4 Q. And the SHU is what, S-H-U?
- 5 A. They send you to another prison. It's a hole inside --
- 6 it's an Ad.Seg. inside of an Ad.Seg., you know, where you're in
- 7 | a cage 23 and a half hours a day. Your toothpaste is squeezed
- 8 into a cup. There's no nothing. You don't even have the
- 9 privileges that you have in Ad.Seg. so they belittle you from
- 10 even that.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Well, let me just -- Mr. Cleveland, when
- 12 you referred --
- 13 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, sir.
- 14 **THE COURT:** -- to the term "SHU," were you referring
- 15 | to the Security Housing Unit?
- 16 **THE WITNESS:** Yes, sir.
- 17 **THE COURT:** Thank you.
- 18 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you.
- 19 MR. CUNNINGHAM: S-H-U, yes.
- 20 **Q.** So you knew you were risking that at the extreme; correct?
- 21 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And were you risking any lesser difficulty or sanction in
- 23 the institution?
- 24 **A.** Explain. I don't understand what you're talking about.
- 25 | Q. Did you -- the SHU -- being put in a SHU term, given a

- 1 term in the SHU would be the most severe --
- 2 A. Punishment.
- 3 Q. -- punishment you could get; right?
- 4 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 5 | Q. Was there a lesser -- any lesser levels of punishment you
- 6 | could have gotten?
- 7 A. You could have received a 115 or you could have received a
- 8 warning, which is a 128.
- 9 Q. Uh-huh. Okay. Did you get anything --
- 10 **A.** No, sir.
- 11 | Q. -- from him? No.
- 12 **THE COURT:** When you're saying "from him" --
- 13 MR. CUNNINGHAM: From Abanico, again, yes. I'm sorry,
- 14 Judge.
- 15 Q. And on this second occasion or this little series of
- 16 occasions two or three days running that resulted in the
- 17 grievance that is Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6A2, that one has a
- 18 | number on it too; right?
- 19 **A.** You're talking about 6A2?
- 20 **Q.** Yeah.
- 21 A. It has a log number.
- 22 **Q.** What's that log number?
- 23 **A.** 07-02428.
- 24 | Q. Okay. And were you -- did you have a similar kind of
- 25 | reaction -- strike it.

- What was your reaction the second time, the first of these
 days when he pulled you over in June of '07?
- 3 A. The reaction was to do the same thing, but it was
- 4 different circumstances because of the fact that I knew it was
- 5 | a retaliation so I didn't do it. I wasn't going to buy into
- 6 this. You know, I wasn't going to let him get me now in a
- 7 | situation where he could put me in the hole since he missed the
- 8 first opportunity.
- 9 | Q. Did you say anything to him while he was doing it?
- 10 **A.** No.
- 11 Q. Was there a Sergeant Paradale or Pardack?
- 12 A. I don't recall that sergeant.
- 13 Q. Let me ask you this first. Let me show you on the
- 14 exhibit.
- 15 **THE COURT:** Counsel, where are we going with this?
- 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm just trying to get this out, what
- 17 | happened with him.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:
- 20 **Q.** Do you recognize that name that's marked there?
- 21 A. No. I seen that and I don't recall that sergeant. It's
- 22 | been so long, it's been that long ago.
- 23 Q. All right. In the course of the events that happened and
- 24 | the grievances that you filed, the one in '06 and one in '07,
- 25 | the one in '07 you didn't -- you decided yourself, right, only?

- 1 You didn't go around and talk to others about it?
- 2 A. No, I did not, sir.
- 3 Q. Okay. And in that period of time, did anyone from
- 4 | administration ever come and sit you down and ask you what
- 5 happened?
- 6 A. Not to my recollection, no.
- 7 | Q. All right. Did you feel you had any opportunity besides
- 8 just these writings to persuade anyone that you were telling
- 9 the truth, anyone in the Administration, that this was true,
- 10 | that this was a problem?
- 11 | A. I just wanted to -- at this time I wanted to create a
- 12 paper trail because what I had done before this hadn't worked;
- 13 and, like I said, I sent letters to all kind of agencies and
- 14 | everything I can think of. It didn't work. So now I'm just
- 15 | trying to keep a paper trail to protect me.
- 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right. I have no further
- 17 questions, Judge.
- 18 **THE COURT:** All right. It's 3:46 but why don't you
- 19 | start with your cross-examination.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. QUINN:
- 22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Cleveland.
- 23 **A.** How you doing, sir?
- 24 **Q.** Good.
- Did Abanico say anything of a sexual nature to you during

- 1 the searches?
- 2 **A.** No, sir.
- 3 Q. And he didn't touch you beneath the clothes; did he?
- 4 **A.** Explain yourself. Beneath the clothes?
- 5 Q. He didn't touch your genitals or any area beneath the
- 6 clothing.
- 7 A. Skin to skin?
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 **A.** No, sir.
- 10 Q. Did you seek any treatment for physical injuries following
- 11 the searches?
- 12 **A.** Mentally or physically?
- 13 Q. Physical injuries.
- 14 **A.** No, sir.
- 15 Q. Now, Inmate Trask earlier testified to how officers will
- 16 start at the top of the body, move down, move down to the leg,
- 17 | and basically cover the entire body. Is that your recollection
- 18 of how Officer Abanico conducted the searches?
- 19 **A.** Can you repeat that?
- 20 \ Q. The question is basically that -- referencing the
- 21 | testimony by Inmate Trask earlier where he described how a
- 22 | search is done, and how officers typically start from the top
- 23 | and then move down to the bottom. Is that your recollection of
- 24 how Officer Abanico conducted the searches?
- 25 **A.** No.

- 1 Q. How did Officer Abanico conduct the searches?
- 2 A. With me?
- 3 **Q.** Yes.
- 4 A. With the elbow in the back.
- 5 | Q. Okay. What I'm asking you is: Did he begin from the top
- 6 of your body?
- 7 **A.** No.
- 8 Q. Where did he begin?
- 9 A. He began by putting the elbow in the back. First he got
- 10 the waist, pulled the pants back, looked at the buttocks, put
- 11 | the elbow in the back. And with him, he started on the inner
- 12 thigh with me. Put the elbow in the back, right inner thigh,
- 13 | left inner thigh, squeeze the penis, squeeze the testicles.
- 14 With me.
- 15 Q. And how long did those searches last?
- 16 **A.** Between three and four seconds. Well, on that one three
- 17 | to four seconds because I came off the wall.
- 18 Q. At this time I'd like to, if I may, reference your
- 19 deposition testimony if you give me a moment.
- 20 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 21 (Pause in proceedings.)
- 22 BY MR. QUINN:
- 23 Q. Mr. Cleveland, do you recall giving -- or me taking your
- 24 deposition on March 20th, 2013?
- 25 A. Yes, sir, unfortunately, I do.

- 1 Q. And at that deposition Mr. Cunningham and the court
- 2 | reporter, you, and I were all present; is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. And before you answered those questions, you raised your
- 5 | right hand and was sworn by the court reporter to tell the
- 6 | truth; weren't you?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And that's the same oath that you took today?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. And you did tell the truth during the deposition; is that
- 11 | correct?
- 12 **A.** To the best of my knowledge.
- 13 Q. And after you finished testifying, you had a chance to
- 14 | read your testimony to make sure it was accurate?
- 15 A. No. We didn't do that till a couple of days later. I
- 16 | think three or four days later or something.
- 17 **Q.** But you recall that all the questions and answers you gave
- 18 | were in a typed booklet titled "Deposition of Ivan Vernord
- 19 | Cleveland"; is that correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 MR. QUINN: At this time I'm going to open the sealed
- 22 deposition of Mr. Cleveland.
- 23 | THE COURT: He moves to unseal the deposition.
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: No problem.
- THE COURT: There's no objection.

```
(Pause in proceedings.)
 1
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Your Honor, again, for the record,
 2
     I'm going to object to the projection of the text from the
 3
     document rather than reading.
 4
 5
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
              THE COURT: That objection will be overruled. You can
 6
 7
     proceed.
     BY MR. QUINN:
 8
          So just to recall, you mentioned that the first search
 9
     lasted three to four seconds before you jumped off the wall; is
10
     that correct?
11
          Yes, sir.
12
     Α.
13
          I'd just like to read you page 24, line 13 -- page 24,
     line 11 --
14
15
              THE COURT:
                         Why don't we mark that, if we haven't
16
     marked it already, before we proceed?
17
              MR. QUINN:
                         We can mark it as Exhibit C.
              THE COURT: Mark it as Exhibit C. All right.
18
     Exhibit C, page?
19
20
              MR. QUINN:
                         Page 24.
              THE COURT:
                         What lines?
21
              MR. QUINN:
                         Lines 11 through 14.
22
23
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
           (Defendants' Exhibit C marked for identification)
24
25
              MR. QUINN:
                           (reading)
```

- 2 **"A.** Between 60 and 70 seconds.
- 3 Q. So about the same length as the first search?
- 4 **"A.** Yes, sir."
- 5 **Q.** So the contact with -- or the first search lasted 60 or 70
- 6 | seconds; is that correct?
- 7 **A.** After I came back from off the wall?
- 8 **Q.** Yes.
- 9 **A.** The whole searching process was 60 to 70 seconds, yes,
- 10 sir.
- 11 Q. And it's your recollection or your testimony that during
- 12 those 60 or 70 seconds, Officer Abanico only made contact with
- 13 | the groin area?
- 14 A. Inner thighs and groin area. That's what I stated in the
- 15 deposition more than once.
- 16 Q. Again, yes, you stated he never searched the back, the
- 17 | thighs, the feet, never took off the shoes?
- 18 A. No. No, sir, he didn't do that. He never took off the
- 19 shoes. Never searched the back.
- 20 Q. Never searched your thighs?
- 21 A. Inner thighs.
- 22 Q. Okay. I'd like to read to you from page 24 --
- 23 **THE COURT:** Just a minute.
- 24 BY MR. QUINN:
- 25 | Q. -- lines 6 through 8 -- or lines 5 through 8: (reading)

- Never. Never searched my back, my thighs, never
 searched my feet. Never made me -- conducting taking off
 the shoes."
- 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Can he complete the answer, Judge?
- 6 THE WITNESS: I answered that. That's the way I
- 7 | answered it just now.
- 8 BY MR. QUINN:
- 9 Q. But you just responded that he -- today you're saying that
- 10 he did --
- 11 **A.** The inner thighs.
- 12 Q. Well, in your deposition you stated he never searched your
- 13 thighs.
- 14 A. Because me and you assumed it was the outside thighs.
- 15 **Q.** I didn't assume that.
- 16 **A.** I did.
- 17 Q. So it's your testimony that these searches were conducted
- 18 | in a long hallway with officers present and inmates passing
- 19 | through; is that correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 21 **Q.** And with officers present in the hallway, other inmates
- 22 moving through the hallway, Abanico touched nothing other than
- 23 the groin area for 60 seconds?
- 24 **A.** For me or for other inmates?
- 25 **Q.** For you.

- 1 A. Yeah, the search lasted between 60 and 70 seconds. Not
- 2 | all the time. I've been searched by him five times. So I'm
- 3 | not going to say all the time they lasted 60 or 70 seconds, but
- 4 | the majority I would say 60 to 70 seconds in total.
- 5 Q. I'll direct you to page 31, line 13 through 17,
- 6 question --
- 7 **A.** Which number, sir?
- 8 **Q.** Page 31, lines 13 through 17.
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 Q. (reading)
- 11 •Q. But, again, these searches lasted roughly a minute at
- 12 the most?
- 13 **"A.** Roughly a minute.
- 14 **"Q.** 80 seconds?
- 15 **"A.** At the most."
- 16 Did I read that correctly?
- 17 A. Yes. I don't feel that 80 seconds is that much from 60 or
- 18 70 seconds.
- 19 Q. But that's your testimony then; is that --
- 20 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 21 **Q.** That Officer Abanico in a long hallway with other officers
- 22 present, other inmates present, maybe was in contact with your
- 23 | groin for 80 seconds?
- 24 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. Do you have any witnesses to that?

- 1 **A.** Here on how he searched me?
- 2 Q. Anywhere.
- 3 **A.** I have witnesses, but they're not here. I have 127
- 4 witnesses.
- 5 **Q.** None of them are here today?
- 6 **A.** No.
- 7 | Q. And during your deposition, you claimed that every time
- 8 | that Abanico touched you, it was inappropriate; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. I felt it was, yes.
- 11 **Q.** And, yet, on your -- in the 602 --
- 12 **A.** Which one, sir?
- 13 **0.** The one entitled 07-2428.
- MR. CUNNINGHAM: Which exhibit? 6A2?
- 15 MR. QUINN: It's Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6A2.
- 16 **THE WITNESS:** 07-2428?
- 17 BY MR. QUINN:
- 18 **Q.** Yes.
- 19 **A.** Okay. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. The 602 references four searches within a three-day
- 21 | period: 6/20/07, 6/21/07 -- two on 6/21/07, one on 6/22/07.
- 22 And yet despite the testimony that "every time Abanico touched
- 23 | me it was inappropriate, " that 602, which was written on
- 24 | 6/23/07, does not include any reference to Abanico fondling and
- 25 | touching you; does it?

- 1 A. No. It has something to do with guard brutality and an
- 2 | Eighth Amendment violation.
- 3 Q. Right. But there's no mention of any molestation or
- 4 fondling?
- 5 **A.** I feel that it was brutality. That's just my opinion.
- 6 Q. But, again, just to clarify, three searches -- four
- 7 | searches within a three-day period --
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. -- you prepare a complaint and you do not mention any
- 10 | molestation, fondling or abuse, physical abuse or sexual abuse,
- 11 by Abanico in that 602?
- 12 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 13 MR. QUINN: Okay. That is all.
- 14 **THE COURT:** Anything further?
- MR. QUINN: That's it.
- 16 MR. CUNNINGHAM: That's it?
- 17 **THE COURT:** All right. Do you have redirect, Counsel?
- 18 MR. CUNNINGHAM: (Nods head.)
- 19 **THE COURT:** It's 3:58. How long do you anticipate
- 20 | your redirect?
- 21 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Ten to fifteen minutes.
- 22 **THE COURT:** What would the jury like to do? Would you
- 23 like to go for the redirect or shall we call it quits for today
- 24 and start up first thing tomorrow morning at 9:00?
- 25 **A JUROR:** Start tomorrow.

```
All right. Then we'll take our afternoon
 1
              THE COURT:
     recess at this time. I'll ask you all to be here a little bit
 2
     early so that we can promptly start at 9:00 a.m.
 3
          Thank you so very much.
 4
 5
              THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
              THE COURT: Have a good evening.
 6
          (Proceedings were heard out of the presence of the jury:)
 7
              THE COURT: At this time I think Mr. Cleveland can
 8
     step down subject to being recalled tomorrow morning.
 9
10
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
11
              THE COURT: All right. A couple of things.
          Madam Reporter, can you bring me back 15:55:51.
12
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
13
              THE COURT: All right. During cross-examination....
14
15
                         (Pause in proceedings.)
16
              THE COURT: All right. During cross-examination:
17
     (reading)
18
          "O.
               Do you have any witnesses to that?
          ΠA.
               Here on how he searched me?
19
20
          ۳Q.
               Anywhere.
21
          ΠA.
               I have witnesses, but they're not here. I have 127
          witnesses.
22
               None of them are here today?
23
          ۳O.
          ΠA.
               No.
24
               And during your deposition, you claimed that every
25
          ۳Q.
```

1	time that Abanico touched you, it was inappropriate; is
2	that correct?
3	"A. I felt it was."
4	To the extent that counsel's elicited testimony regarding
5	the 127 witnesses that he has indicated were witnesses to this,
6	you may ask Mr. Cleveland tomorrow morning as to who saw him,
7	who else saw this happen and under what circumstances.
8	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Who else saw the particular search as
9	opposed
LO	THE COURT: That's correct?
L1	MR. CUNNINGHAM: to the 127?
L2	THE COURT: Yes.
L3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay.
L4	THE COURT: Now, then, to the extent that we have some
L5	exhibits, let's go through those.
L6	Madam Clerk, I'll let you call the exhibits, the numbers,
L7	and we'll go from there. That's probably the easiest.
L8	THE CLERK: Okay. For defendants, Exhibit B, which is
L9	the Trask deposition, and Exhibit C, which is the Cleveland
20	deposition, have now been marked for identification.
21	THE COURT: All right. Do you wish the pieces that
22	you cross-examined to be moved into evidence?
23	MR. QUINN: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: All right. Then what I'm going to do is
25	I'm going to ask you where do we have a Xerox machine? Do

```
we have one here?
 1
 2
              THE LAW CLERK: Hallway.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Take the exhibits, go into the
 3
     hallway, mark the portions that you used for cross-examination,
 4
 5
     and then have them marked and move those into evidence.
          Ms. Clark, is that all right with you?
 6
              THE CLERK:
                          That's fine.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. I have to check, you know.
 8
           (Defendants' Exhibits B and C received in evidence)
 9
              THE COURT: Any other defense exhibits that we need to
10
     discuss?
11
              MR. QUINN: With regard to the copying, I thought we
12
    were just going to move the entire depo into evidence.
13
                         Well, it's not relevant.
14
              THE COURT:
              MR. LEWIS:
                         Portions --
15
16
              THE COURT: The portions that you used for impeachment
17
     I'll allow you to move into evidence; but the whole deposition,
    no. You have the witness here. It becomes hearsay.
18
          Any other defense?
19
20
                               That's all for the defense,
              THE CLERK:
                          No.
21
    Your Honor.
22
                          All right. Why don't we move through the
              THE COURT:
23
    plaintiffs'.
              THE CLERK: Okay. The plaintiffs have Exhibit 1, 2 --
24
              THE COURT: Let's start --
25
```

Exhibit 1. 1 THE CLERK: Okay. 2 THE COURT: I'm a slow guy. All right. Exhibit 1. Given my prior motion in limine 3 ruling as to everything except 405 and 406, which is the first 4 5 page -- I'm looking at the Bates numbers --I don't have it in front of me. MR. QUINN: 6 7 THE COURT: All right. The staff complaint, the first page and the second page, I'm going to allow that to be moved 8 into evidence; but the portion thereafter, the handwritten part 9 which talks about the other inmates and the listing of the --10 11 I've forgotten how many numbers there are now -- but the other inmates, we discussed that is hearsay and as such I won't allow 12 that in. 13 Now, if counsel wishes to include in the staff complaint 14 15 the handwritten portion, pages 1, 2, and I guess 3 and 4 signed 16 by Mr. Cleveland, if the references to the previously ruled hearsay parts is excised and the parties agree to it, I'll 17 18 allow that to come into evidence. But at this juncture all I'm going to allow right now is 19 page 1 and 2 of Plaintiffs' 1 to be admitted into evidence at 20 21 this time. (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1 received in evidence) 22 The next plaintiffs'? 23 THE COURT: MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, you're saying the references 24 25 over where he says, "See next page," or whatever, that that's

```
out, the ones you just called, 2, 3, 4?
 1
              THE COURT: Mr. Cunningham, these two pages
 2
     (indicating).
 3
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. All right. I follow you.
 4
 5
              THE CLERK:
                         Okay.
                                 Exhibit 2.
              THE COURT: Exhibit 2.
 6
          Exhibit 2, the first page, Mr. Cleveland's statement may
 7
     come in. The others are hearsay pursuant to my motion in
 8
     limine ruling at this time. So you may allow the first page,
 9
    Mr. Cleveland's statement, to come in. All right?
10
11
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right.
              THE LAW CLERK: Just the first page?
12
              THE COURT: Just the first page at this juncture.
13
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Without prejudice to some possibility
14
15
     that further parts --
16
              THE COURT: Something may happen.
17
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: -- will be tied up. Okay.
           (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 2 received in evidence)
18
              THE COURT: Next one, Madam Clerk?
19
              THE CLERK:
                         Exhibit 6A1.
20
              THE COURT: All right. Now, then, 6A1 and 1 appear to
21
    be the same document.
22
23
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: The first two pages are the same, the
     ones you admitted. 6A1 goes on to include the rest of whatever
24
25
     we had.
```

That's also in Number 1, too. 1 THE COURT: MR. CUNNINGHAM: No. You just have up through the 2 signatures in Number 1, and Number 2 and Number 6A1 there's 3 responses, there's a number, there's the letters from the 4 5 Internal Affairs. There's a bunch of different stuff in there, 6 Judge. THE COURT: All right. This was the Memoranda of 7 Determination of Staff Complaint; the Office of 8 Internal Affairs response; the memorandum 9/20/06 signed by the 9 10 Deputy Chief Warden; the letter from Mr. Cleveland to Brad 11 Williams, Special Agent; an envelope; another letter from Mr. Cleveland; a response from the Office of Internal Affairs 12 dated October 10th, 2006; proof of service; Mr. Cleveland's 13 Retaliation Request, Monterey Division, and its conclusion. 14 Ιt 15 looks like there's a whole series of documents. 16 What's defendants' position? 17 MR. QUINN: Well, I don't have them in front of me. It was a little unclear when he was going through them what --18 **THE COURT:** Did the parties exchange exhibits here? 19 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes. MR. QUINN: We did; but as we were going through them, 21 I didn't have some of them and they were handed to me, like 434 22 23 and 436 or something were not in the materials that I had. 24 So --25 THE COURT: All right. What I'm going to do at this

```
juncture is: My ruling is as to 6A1, pages 1 and 2, can come
 1
     in at this juncture; however, since they are contained in
 2
     Plaintiffs' 1, are you withdrawing 6A at this juncture?
 3
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, if that's the only part -- if
 4
 5
    we're only getting those first two pages, yeah.
 6
              THE COURT: All right. And then if you wish other
 7
    parts of it, you'll need to pull them out and re-mark them as
     other documents, and you can then ask the witness as to what
 8
     they are and then you can move them individually into evidence.
 9
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: All right, Judge.
10
11
              THE COURT: All right.
                              Yes, sir.
12
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                          The next plaintiffs' exhibit?
13
              THE COURT:
              THE CLERK:
                          6A2.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                         That's a different complaint.
16
              MR. QUINN: It's AGO373 as best I can glean.
17
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm sorry. What are we talking
18
     about, 6A2?
                          6A2, Second-Level Staff Complaint. I show
19
              THE COURT:
20
     Bates numbers 373, 374, 375, and 376.
21
              MR. QUINN:
                         That's correct.
22
              THE COURT:
                         Objection?
23
              MR. QUINN:
                         (Shakes head.)
              THE COURT: Moving that into evidence?
24
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
25
                               Yes.
```

```
6A2 will be moved into evidence.
 1
              THE COURT:
           (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6A2 received in evidence)
 2
                         And that's all, Your Honor.
              THE CLERK:
 3
              THE COURT: At this time?
 4
 5
              THE CLERK:
                         Yes.
              THE COURT: All right. Then you'll make photocopies
 6
     of the transcript portions.
 7
              MR. LEWIS:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
 8
              MR. QUINN:
                         Yes.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. Anything else we need to take
10
11
    up at this time?
                               I'm sorry, Judge?
12
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
13
              THE COURT: Anything else we need to take up at this
     time?
14
15
              MR. CUNNINGHAM:
                              I don't have anything, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right. Ms. Jaffe, I believe, gave
17
    both of you the proposed closing instructions; and I ask you to
18
     review them so that after submission of the evidence, we can
19
     promptly move to take a look at those, finalize the
20
     instructions, and get them to the jury and allow me to read
21
     them to the jury.
22
          All right, Counsel --
23
              MR. CUNNINGHAM: Judge, can we have a continuing order
     for showers for them to come in?
24
25
              THE COURT: I think we did that already; did we not?
```

1	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Well, the order was as of today, but
2	what I'm asking is if they could have a shower tonight, too,
3	and
4	THE CORRECTIONAL OFFICER: Your Honor, that's already
5	been addressed. They will be showered every evening for the
6	entire court proceedings.
7	THE COURT: Thank you so much.
8	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Sergeant.
9	THE COURT: All right. I'll see counsel at
LO	8:30 tomorrow morning.
L1	MR. LEWIS: 8:30, Your Honor, yes.
L2	THE COURT: Thank you very much. Court's in recess.
L3	(Proceedings adjourned at 4:13 p.m.)
L 4	00
L5	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
L6	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
L7	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
L8	DATE: Monday, November 4, 2013
L9	g andergen
20	
21	Jo Ann Bryce, CSR No. 3321, RMR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter
22	
23	Camare yourd
24	Candace Yount, CSR No. 2737, RMR, CCRR U.S. Court Reporter
25	